



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County
Board of Supervisors**



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

Adobe Acrobat Reader

Finding Words

You can use the Find command to find a complete word or part of a word in the current PDF document. Acrobat Reader looks for the word by reading every word on every page in the file, including text in form fields.

To find a word using the Find command:

1. Click the Find button (**Binoculars**), or choose Edit > Find.
2. Enter the text to find in the text box.
3. Select search options if necessary:
 - Match Whole Word Only finds only occurrences of the complete word you enter in the box. For example, if you search for the word *stick*, the words *tick* and *sticky* will not be highlighted.
 - Match Case finds only words that contain exactly the same capitalization you enter in the box.
 - Find Backwards starts the search from the current page and goes backwards through the document.
4. Click Find. Acrobat Reader finds the next occurrence of the word.

To find the next occurrence of the word, Do one of the following:

- Choose Edit > Find Again
- Reopen the find dialog box, and click Find Again.
- (The word must already be in the Find text box.)

Copying and pasting text and graphics to another application

You can select text or a graphic in a PDF document, copy it to the Clipboard, and paste it into another application such as a word processor. You can also paste text into a PDF document note or into a bookmark. Once the selected text or graphic is on the Clipboard, you can switch to another application and paste it into another document.

Note: *If a font copied from a PDF document is not available on the system displaying the copied text, the font cannot be preserved. A default font is substituted.*



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

To select and copy it to the clipboard:

1. Select the text tool **T**, and do one of the following:
To select a line of text, select the first letter of the sentence or phrase and drag to the last letter.

To select multiple columns of text (horizontally), hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option (Mac OS) as you drag across the width of the document.

To select a column of text (vertically), Hold down Ctrl+Alt (Windows) or Option+Command (Mac OS) as you drag the length of the document.

To select all the text on the page, choose Edit > Select All. In single page mode, all the text on the current page is selected. In Continuous or Continuous – facing mode, most of the text in the document is selected. When you release the mouse button, the selected text is highlighted. To deselect the text and start over, click anywhere outside the selected text. The Select All command will not select all the text in the document. A workaround for this (Windows) is to use the Edit > Copy command. Choose Edit > Copy to copy the selected text to the clipboard.

2. To view the text, choose Window > Show Clipboard

In Windows 95, the Clipboard Viewer is not installed by default and you cannot use the Show Clipboard command until it is installed. To install the Clipboard Viewer, Choose Start > Settings > Control Panel > Add/Remove Programs, and then click the Windows Setup tab. Double-click Accessories, check Clipboard Viewer, and click OK.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **THE LOS ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**
2 **SPECIAL MEETING - BUDGET DELIBERATIONS**
3 **MONDAY, JUNE 20, 2011, 9:30 A.M.**
4
5
6

7 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE JUNE 20TH, 2011 MEETING OF THE LOS
8 ANGELES COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILL COME TO ORDER
9 RELATIVE TO DEALING WITH THE 2011-12 BUDGET AND WE'LL FIRST
10 BEGIN WITH THE REPORT FROM OUR C.E.O., MR. FUJIOKA.
11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** GOOD MORNING. TODAY AS WE'RE GETTING READY TO
13 PRESENT AND AS I PRESENT AND AS THE BOARD APPROVES OUR FINAL
14 BUDGET, WE STILL HAVE A NUMBER OF UNCERTAINTIES THAT WE'RE
15 DEALING WITH, PRINCIPALLY CAUSED BY WHAT'S HAPPENING IN
16 SACRAMENTO WITH THE STATE BUDGET. BUT I'LL GET INTO THAT AS I
17 MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS PRESENTATION. FIRST AND FOREMOST I WANT
18 TO THANK OUR DEPARTMENT HEADS AND DEPARTMENT STAFF FOR THE
19 WORK THEY'VE DONE THIS YEAR. I THINK YOU KNOW THAT RECENTLY WE
20 WENT TO WALL STREET TO SELL OUR TRANS. AS A CONSEQUENCE OF OUR
21 MEETING WITH THE BOND RATING AGENCIES, THE COUNTY RECEIVED THE
22 HIGHEST SHORT-TERM RATINGS FROM EACH OF THE THREE MAJOR CREDIT
23 AGENCIES. THIS IS NOT- THIS SHOULDN'T BE, HOW SHOULD I PUT IT?
24 I'LL JUST SAY WHAT IT IS. IT IS A VERY SIGNIFICANT ACHIEVEMENT
25 DURING THESE VERY DIFFICULT ECONOMIC TIMES. WHAT IT DOES IS IT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 VALIDATES AND ALSO HIGHLIGHTS THE ROLE THIS BOARD HAS TAKEN IN
2 MANAGING THE COUNTY'S FINANCES. THROUGH THE BOARD'S FISCAL
3 DISCIPLINE AND THROUGH ITS ADHERENCE WITH VERY STRONG FISCAL
4 POLICIES, THE RATING AGENCIES HAVE CONSISTENTLY IDENTIFIED THE
5 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES AS A COUNTY THAT IS NOT ONLY HAS A SOUND
6 FISCAL FOUNDATION, BUT A COUNTY THAT HAS A DISCIPLINE TO DEAL
7 WITH THREE OF THE MOST DIFFICULT YEARS THAT ANY MUNICIPAL
8 GOVERNMENT HAS HAD TO DEAL WITH. I MENTIONED THAT SOME OF YOU
9 INDIVIDUALLY, THIS IS THE FOURTH- I'M SORRY. IT'S THE 12TH
10 BOND RATING MEETING I'VE HAD TO ATTEND I DID ATTEND THIS WITH
11 OUR TREASURER TAX COLLECTOR AND THE AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. IT WAS
12 ONE OF THE MOST DIFFICULT ONES THIS YEAR, BECAUSE THE BOND
13 RATING AGENCIES WERE UNDER EVEN MORE SCRUTINY BY CONGRESS
14 BECAUSE OF PRIOR, I WON'T SAY PRIOR ACTIONS, BUT PRIOR EVENTS
15 THAT IMPACTED THE CREDIT WORLD. AND THEY WERE VERY DIFFICULT.
16 BUT THROUGHOUT EACH OF THE PRESENTATIONS, THEY GAVE PRAISE TO
17 THIS BOARD AND TO THIS COUNTY. BUT I STARTED MY COMMENTS BY
18 THANKING THE DEPARTMENT HEADS AND THEIR STAFF BECAUSE DESPITE
19 THREE YEARS OF CUTS, WHERE EVERY SINGLE DEPARTMENT HAS HAD TO
20 SUSTAIN ON AVERAGE A 17 PERCENT CUT, WE'RE STILL ABLE TO
21 MAINTAIN OUR PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY AND MAJOR PROGRAMS AND
22 SERVICES WITHOUT ANY SIGNIFICANT CURTAILMENTS OR SIGNIFICANT
23 IMPACTS TO THE PEOPLE WE SERVE. I ALSO WANT TO THANK SID
24 KEKAWA AND HIS TEAM, ALL OF OUR BUDGET STAFF, BUT EVEN EQUALLY
25 IMPORTANT YOUR BUDGET DEPUTIES FOR THEIR INDIVIDUAL AND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COLLECTIVE EFFORTS RELATED TO THIS BUDGET. WE START THE
2 BUDGET, I THINK YOU KNOW, IN DECEMBER. AND IT'S GONE THROUGH
3 THIS LONG SEVEN, EIGHT-MONTH PROCESS TO GET US TO WHERE WE'RE
4 AT NOW. WE'RE SEEING SOME IMPROVEMENTS IN THE ECONOMY. WE'VE
5 SEEN IMPROVEMENTS IN OUR PROP 172 REVENUE, BOTH RE-ALIGNMENT
6 AND PUBLIC SAFETY. WE'VE SEEN A SLIGHT IMPROVEMENT IN THE
7 COLLECTION OF OUR PROPERTY TAX. IN THE PROPOSED BUDGET, OUR
8 NEW ASSESSOR STATED THAT WE'LL SEE AN INCREASE OF .76. THAT
9 HAS BEEN REVISED TO .99. THAT, ALTHOUGH THAT IS A VERY SMALL
10 INCREASE, IT'S A SIGNAL THAT THINGS ARE IMPROVING AS WITH THE
11 PROP 172 SALES TAX. WE'RE SEEING THAT IMPROVEMENT.
12 UNFORTUNATELY COUPLED WITH THAT IMPROVEMENT IS A CONTINUING
13 DECLINE IN THE VLF FEE. PEOPLE JUST AREN'T BUYING CARS. AND
14 THAT COMBINED WITH A POTENTIAL LOSS OF MORE VLF DOLLARS DUE TO
15 WHAT'S HAPPENING AT THE STATE, WE COULD SEE A VERY SERIOUS
16 IMPACT ON OUR PUBLIC SAFETY PROGRAMS. WHAT I ASK FOR TODAY AND
17 I'M NOT GOING TO GO THROUGH ALL MY COMMENTS BECAUSE I'LL HAVE
18 THEM AS WE PRESENT THE VARIOUS BUDGET ITEMS, BUT WHAT'S REAL
19 IMPORTANT TODAY IS THAT WE HAVE A BALANCED BUDGET. WE HAVE
20 SOME ADDITIONAL REVENUE THAT TOTALS 41.6 MILLION DOLLARS. BUT
21 WE'RE ASKING THAT TODAY WE RESTRICT ANY NEW CHANGES OR
22 ALLOCATIONS TO ONLY 22.8 MILLION DOLLARS BECAUSE OF THE
23 UNCERTAINTY AT THE STATE LEVEL. I THINK WE ALL KNOW WHAT'S
24 HAPPENED IN THE PAST WEEK WHERE THE LEGISLATURE APPROVED THE
25 BUDGET THAT WAS SUBSEQUENTLY VETOED BY THE GOVERNOR. BUT IN



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1 THE BUDGET THAT'S SITTING IN SACRAMENTO RIGHT NOW, IN VARIOUS
2 FORMS, IT INCLUDES A CUT TO A VLF PROGRAM THAT COULD BE AS
3 MUCH AS 135 MILLION DOLLARS. IT ALSO FAILS TO FUND A.B.109.
4 AND A.B.109 REPRESENTS OR INVOLVES A NUMBER OF PUBLIC SAFETY
5 PROGRAMS THAT ARE TARGETED FOR RE-ALIGNMENT TO LOCAL COUNTIES.
6 AT BEST WHAT WE SAW AS THE DIFFERENT ITERATIONS OF THE STATE
7 BUDGET CAME FORWARD WAS FUNDING FOR ONE YEAR. BUT WE ALL KNOW
8 IN PRIOR DISCUSSIONS, THE AGREEMENT WE HAD WITH THE STATE IS
9 THAT WE WOULD ACCEPT THE RE-ALIGNMENT OF PROGRAMS IF IT CAME
10 WITH A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT AND WITH GUARANTEED FUNDING
11 NOT ONLY FOR THE FIRST YEAR BUT ALL SUBSEQUENT YEARS. I FEEL
12 NOW THAT I'VE BEEN TALKING TO MY COUNTERPARTS IN THE 12 URBAN
13 COUNTIES OVER THE PAST WEEK, I FEEL THAT THE URBAN COUNTIES,
14 WELL ALL COUNTIES BUT PARTICULARLY OUR COUNTY, SHOULD TAKE A
15 VERY STRONG POSITION THAT WELL NOT ACCEPT THESE PROGRAMS
16 UNLESS THEY COME WITH ADEQUATE AND PERMANENT FUNDING. SO I'LL
17 END MY COMMENTS WITH THAT AND WE'LL GET INTO THE AGENDA. BUT I
18 UNDERSTAND THAT THE MAYOR WOULD LIKE TO CALL ON A FEW FOLKS TO
19 SPEAK AT THIS TIME.

20

21 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ONE PERSON WAS SIGNED TO TALK ON 1, 3,
22 7, 9, 12, 13, SO MR. PREVEN, YOU CAN COME UP RIGHT NOW AND
23 MAKE YOUR COMMENTS

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **ERIC PREVEN:** GOOD MORNING, HONORABLE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS, MR.
2 FUJIOKA, MS. ORDEN. I AM ERIC PREVEN; I'M THE COUNTY RESIDENT
3 FROM DISTRICT 3 AND I HAVE LOOKED AT THE BUDGET. AND I HAVE
4 BEEN FOLLOWING THE BUDGET PROCESS. AND THE ITEMS THAT I SIGNED
5 UP TO SPEAK ABOUT I CAN SORT OF GO THROUGH ONE BY ONE. ITEM 3
6 WAS ISSUES RAISED AT THE PUBLIC BUDGET HEARINGS, WHICH TOOK
7 PLACE ON MAY 11TH OF THIS YEAR. I WOULD JUST REQUEST MS. HAMAI
8 THAT THE CONTROVERSY SURROUNDED THE BUDGET HEARING BE INCLUDED
9 IN THE PUBLIC RECORD BECAUSE THERE WAS A FEELING AMONG THE
10 RESIDENTS THAT THE MEETING WAS CURTAILED PREMATURELY AND THERE
11 WAS NO ADDITIONAL MEETING SET, AND THAT HAS BEEN A DISCUSSION
12 THAT'S ONGOING. I DIDN'T SEE ANYTHING IN THE COMMENTS AND
13 TESTIMONY THAT WAS SUBMITTED BY ANY OF THE PEOPLE ABOUT THAT,
14 AND I JUST WANTED TO GET THAT ON THE RECORD. BEING MORE
15 SPECIFIC, REGARDING THE TESTIMONY THAT WAS SUBMITTED BY MAY
16 20TH IN CONNECTION WITH THAT, AFTER THAT HEARING, I WANT TO
17 JUST GO THROUGH A FEW OF THE SUGGESTIONS AND FIND OUT IF
18 THERE'S AN UPDATE AS TO WHAT OCCURED. THERE WAS A 17 MILLION
19 DOLLAR REQUEST BY MR. ANTONOVICH TO GO FORWARD WITH THE
20 CASTAIC ANIMAL SHELTER. OR ACTUALLY IT IS THAT. THERE IS ALSO
21 A 1.5 MILLION DOLLAR REQUEST TO GET A SPAY NEUTER CLINIC
22 GOING. I WASN'T SURE IF THAT MADE IT IN. THERE WAS ALSO SOME
23 QUESTIONS ABOUT THE HOLIDAY CELEBRATION, WHETHER OR NOT THAT
24 IS GOING TO BE SAVED. I KNOW THAT THERE WAS SOME QUESTION THAT
25 THERE WAS 636,000 DOLLARS. AND THEN I HOPE IT'S BEEN SAVED.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AND THEN UNDER COUNTY COUNSEL FOR MR. ANTONOVICH, THERE WAS A
2 REQUEST FOR A 40,000 DOLLARS ADDITIONAL FUNDING TO COVER LEGAL
3 CHARGES DUE TO COUNTY COUNSEL. I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHAT THAT
4 MEANT AND I WAS HOPING FOR SOME CLARIFICATION. FOR THE OTHER
5 SUPERVISORS, WHO ALSO SUBMITTED WRITTEN TESTIMONY, I, TOO, WAS
6 HOPING THAT THE SENIOR CENTER IN POTERO HEIGHTS WAS SAVED. I
7 DON'T KNOW IF THAT WAS SUCCESSFUL OR NOT. AND I AGREE WITH MR.
8 RIDLEY-THOMAS'- IT SEEMED LIKE HE WAS REACHING FOR THE LAYMERT
9 STATION REQUEST WHERE HE REQUESTED THE RESOURCES WITH LOCAL
10 JURISDICTIONS PROVIDE SUPPORT THAT WILL FACILITATE ECONOMIC
11 AND TRANSIT-ORIENTED DEVELOPMENTS. I DISAGREE WITH MR.
12 YAROSLAVSKY ABOUT DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RESOURCES. HE HAD
13 REQUESTED, I THINK, THAT WE DO MORE TO TRY TO REDUCE THE
14 DRAMATIC BUDGET IMPACTS ON THAT DEPARTMENT. I KNOW THAT IT HAS
15 BEEN A BLOATED DEPARTMENT. IT SPEAKS SORT OF TO THE COUNTY
16 CULTURE HERE WITH SOME OF THE DEPARTMENTS WHERE I FEEL, AND
17 WE'VE BEEN TALKING ABOUT IT OVER THE LAST MONTHS, THERE ARE
18 WAYS THAT THE RESIDENTS' MONEY IS BEING DEPLOYED THAT SEEMS A
19 LITTLE BIT OFF. FOR EXAMPLE, DEPARTMENT OF BEACH AND HARBORS
20 HAS REQUESTED VERY RECENTLY, I THINK IT'S TUESDAY TOMORROW, A
21 275,000 DOLLAR OR 65,000 DOLLAR SOFTWARE PROGRAM TO LOOK AT
22 THEIR ONGOING RENTAL MANAGEMENT. I MEAN, THESE ARE PROPERTIES
23 AND BITS OF RENTAL INCOME THAT THE COUNTY ENJOYS THAT HAVE
24 BEEN IN PLACE FOR A VERY LONG TIME. IT SEEMS LIKE SPENDING SO
25 MUCH MONEY NOW TO ANOTHER COMPANY TO EFFECTIVELY MAKE LIFE A



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 LITTLE EASIER FOR THE FOLKS AT BEACHES AND HARBORS DOESN'T
2 FEEL 100 PERCENT RIGHT TO ME.

3

4 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DO YOU WANT TO WRAP IT UP, THEN, SIR?

5

6 **ERIC PREVIN:** YEAH. THE QUESTION OF THE CONSUMER AFFAIRS
7 CONSOLIDATION. I KNOW THIS WAS OF GREAT INTEREST TO MR.
8 RIDLEY-THOMAS AND MR. YAROSLAVSKY AT ONE OF THE BOARD
9 MEETINGS. AND I KNOW THAT THERE WAS A PROPOSAL TO AVOID
10 CONSOLIDATING THE CONSUMER AFFAIRS UNIT INTO THE SENIOR UNIT.
11 I THOUGHT IT SEEMED LIKE A GOOD IDEA BECAUSE ALL THE JOBS
12 WOULD BE SAVED. THEY WOULD BE JUST UNDER A DIFFERENT UMBRELLA
13 AND IT WOULD SAVE US 350,000 DOLLARS. BUT WE HAVE FOUND
14 350,000 DOLLARS, AND I WAS QUESTIONING WHERE WE FOUND IT
15 BECAUSE THERE'S SOMETHING CALLED CY PRESS WHICH I'M JUST
16 LEARNING ABOUT. BUT IT'S A SETTLEMENT DEAL WHERE INDIVIDUALS
17 WHO GOT BURNED SOMEHOW BUT WEREN'T ABLE TO RECEIVE THEIR
18 PAYOUT, THERE'S A FUND THAT'S THEN EARMARKED AND THERE ARE
19 VARIOUS WAYS THAT THE GOVERNMENT PROVIDES THAT YOU CAN SPEND
20 THAT MONEY. I AGREE THAT ROLLING IT INTO THE SENIOR SERVICES
21 IS NOT A TERRIBLE WAY TO GO, BUT IT DOESN'T SEEM, GIVEN THE
22 NATURE OF THAT MONEY, THAT THAT'S HOW THAT MONEY SHOULD BE
23 INTENDED. AND IT SEEMS LIKE A CONSOLIDATION THAT WOULD BE IN
24 ALL OF OUR INTERESTS, GIVEN BOTH THE FREEZE ON UNNECESSARY
25 SPENDING AND THE ATTEMPT TO TIGHTEN THE BELTS WHEREVER WE CAN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IN LINE WITH MR. FUJIOKA'S, YOU KNOW, CAUTION THAT WE ARE
2 EXCITED THAT WE HAVE A HIGH BOND RATING, BUT WE ARE OF COURSE
3 NERVOUS ABOUT THE FUTURE AND ABOUT THE STATE. AND TO BE
4 SPENDING MONEY ON THINGS THAT ARE EVEN A LITTLE BIT
5 QUESTIONABLE AT THIS TIME FEELS, TO ME, WORTH QUESTIONING.

6

7 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU, MISTER, PREVEN. THANK YOU.
8 THAT'S ITEM NUMBER 1 ON THE BUDGET. JUST ONE QUESTION, MR.
9 FUJIOKA. THE BUDGET THAT HAD BEEN VETOED BY THE GOVERNOR HAD
10 HE SIGNED IT, DID THAT INCLUDE UNFUNDED RE-ALIGNMENT MANDATES?

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE BUDGET INCLUDED ONE-YEAR OF FUNDING TO
13 SUPPORT A.B.109. IT DID NOT INCLUDE THE CONSTITUTION AGREEMENT
14 NOR DID IT INCLUDE A PERMANENT FUNDING SOURCE. THAT'S WHY I
15 STATED IN MY EARLIER COMMENTS THAT ABSENT BOTH OF THOSE ITEMS,
16 THE CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT A GUARANTEED FUNDING SOURCE, WE
17 NEED TO STATE OUR STRONG OBJECTIONS.

18

19 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO IT CONTAINED ONE-YEAR FUNDING. DID
20 IT ALLOW LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO WITHDRAW FROM THOSE MANDATES
21 AFTER THAT 12-MONTH PERIOD IF THERE IS NO FUNDING IN PLACE?

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IT WAS SILENT ON THAT NOTE. AND THAT'S ONE OF
24 OUR MAJOR CONCERNS, BECAUSE SHOULD THOSE PROGRAMS START,
25 SHOULD THE RE-ALIGNMENT GO FORWARD AND THAT POPULATION BECOMES



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THE RESPONSIBILITY OF ALL AFFECTED SERVICES, LIKE COUNTY OF
2 LOS ANGELES. IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO UNWIND THAT ON A GO-
3 FORWARD BASIS.

4

5 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IF THERE WAS NO FUNDING FOR THAT, YOU
6 WOULD HAVE TO UNWIND IT, OTHERWISE IT WOULD DESTROY EVERY
7 COUNTY, ALL 58 COUNTIES IN THE STATE. AND WHAT MECHANISMS WERE
8 IN PLACE FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO END UNFUNDED MANDATES THAT
9 WERE SHIFTED FROM THAT 109 LEGISLATION? ON THE DAY AFTER THAT
10 12-MONTH PERIOD.

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WELL, LIKE OTHER PROGRAMS WHERE WE RECEIVED
13 FUNDING, IF ON A GO-FORWARD BASIS FAILURE TO PROVIDE THAT
14 FUNDING OCCURS, I WOULD FEEL WE'D HAVE TO HAVE THE ABILITY TO
15 STOP.

16

17 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT.

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** AND AT THAT POINT WE WOULD TAKE A POSITION
20 THAT WE COULD NO LONGER ACCEPT THOSE PROGRAMS AND SERVICES.
21 BUT EVEN THAT IS FRAUGHT WITH SOME DANGERS. THAT'S WHY NOW'S
22 THE TIME FOR US AS A COUNTY TO PARTNER WITH THE OTHER 12 URBAN
23 COUNTIES THAT ARE IMPACTED, THE GREATEST OF THIS ACTION TO
24 TAKE A STRONG POSITION NOW TO SAY WE WILL NOT ACCEPT THESE
25 PROGRAMS UNLESS THERE'S THE GUARANTEE.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND THE QUESTION THEN IF WE ONLY FUND
3 THE PROGRAMS FOR THAT 12-MONTH APPROPRIATION, IS THE STATE
4 LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THOSE PROGRAMS IN THE 13TH MONTH? OR
5 DO THEY SHIFT ALL OF THE LEGAL RESPONSIBILITY TO THE 58
6 COUNTIES?

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** AT THIS POINT IN TIME, WE DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER
9 TO THAT QUESTION. THAT'S ALSO ONE OF THE MAJOR CONCERNS
10 BECAUSE WITH A.B.109, ONCE THOSE PROGRAMS START COMING TO US,
11 THEY COULD EASILY BE OUR PERMANENT RESPONSIBILITY UNLESS WE
12 TAKE A STRONG POSITION NOW.

13

14 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT'S A TSUNAMI THAT'S GOING TO WIPE
15 OUT THE 58 COUNTIES. THERE'S NO IN-BETWEEN. IF THE 58 COUNTIES
16 DO NOT HAVE THE ABILITY TO FINANCE THEIR REGULAR SERVICES,
17 VITAL SERVICES, HOW ARE THEY GOING TO ASSUME ADDITIONAL ONES
18 THAT THE STATE SHUT DOWN WITHOUT ANY FINANCIAL RESOURCES TO
19 SUPPORT THAT?

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** GOOD POINT, SIR. ALSO, AS I MENTIONED EARLIER,
22 THERE'S THE POTENTIAL FOR LOSING EXISTING VLF FUNDING IF THE
23 CURRENT FEE IS NOT EXTENDED OR IF AN ALTERNATIVE SOURCE OF
24 FUNDING IS NOT PROVIDED TO THE COUNTIES. AND THAT REPRESENTS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 135 MILLION TO OUR COUNTY. AND WITH THAT, ABOUT 85 MILLION
2 DOLLARS TO A PROBATION DEPARTMENT ALONE.

3

4 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** BUT THAT WASN'T NECESSARILY GOING TO
5 BE FOR PUBLIC SAFETY WHEN THE LEGISLATURE ENACTED THAT FUNDING
6 I DON'T REMEMBER HOW MANY YEARS BACK. BUT THE POINT REGARDLESS
7 WHERE THE MONEY IS COMING FROM FROM THE STATE, IF THEY DON'T
8 ALLOW LOCAL GOVERNMENT TO BE FULLY FUNDED FOR MANDATED
9 PROGRAMS THAT THEY'RE INITIATING, WE ARE NOT IN A POSITION TO
10 FUND THEM.

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** GOOD POINT.

13

14 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO LEGALLY IT WOULD BE THE STATE
15 HAVING THAT RESPONSIBILITY. AND IF THERE'S ANY LEGAL ACTION,
16 IT WOULD HAVE TO BE DIRECTED AT THE STATE, NOT TO THE 58
17 COUNTIES, WOULD BE MY UNDERSTANDING. ANY COMMENTS ON ITEM
18 NUMBER 1?

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** TO FINISH NUMBER 1, I SUBMITTED A MEMO
21 EARLIER, BUT IT'S DATED TODAY. AND IT ASKS THAT YOUR BOARD
22 TAKES SIX ACTIONS. FIRST TO APPROVE THE ATTACHED OPERATING
23 BUDGETS AND THE APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENTS NECESSARY TO REALIGN
24 IN A JUST OR FISCAL YEAR 2010-2011 FINAL BUDGET. NEXT IS
25 APPROVE A NUMBER OF APPROPRIATION ADJUSTMENTS NECESSARY TO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 REALIGN AND ADJUST THIS CURRENT YEAR'S FINAL ADOPTED BUDGET TO
2 ADDRESS THE FINANCING REQUIREMENTS OF CERTAIN CAPITAL
3 PROJECTS. FIND THAT THE PROPOSED CAPITAL PROJECTS' ACTIONS DO
4 NOT MEET THE DEFINITION OF A PROJECT UNDER THE CALIFORNIA
5 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ACT. NEXT IS AUTHORIZE MY OFFICE TO
6 EXECUTE FUNDING AGREEMENTS WITH POMONA HEALTH COMMUNITY HEALTH
7 CENTER FOR CONSTRUCTION COSTS AND WITH THE LA PLAZA DE CULTURA
8 DE ARTES FOUNDATION FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS AND ONGOING
9 OPERATING EXPENSES. AUTHORIZE THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE OF THE
10 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS TO EXECUTE FUNDING AGREEMENTS WITH L.A.
11 UNIFIED. AND LAST IS TO DIRECT MY OFFICE TO REVISE THE COUNTY
12 BOARD OF SUPERVISORS POLICY 4.030 TO UPDATE A POLICY DEFINED
13 OR DESCRIBED BY MEMO, MY JUNE 20TH MEMO. AND THAT POLICY
14 SPEAKS TO HOW WE HANDLE FORFEITED HEALTH CARE SPENDING
15 ACCOUNTS. CURRENTLY, WHEN THE YEAR IS OVER IF THE EMPLOYEE
16 DOES NOT USED HIS OR HER HEALTHCARE SPENDING ACCOUNTS, THAT
17 MONEY IS SET ASIDE IN AN ACCOUNT BUT THAT WE DON'T SPECIFY HOW
18 THAT MONEY WOULD BE USED. WE'RE ASKING THAT WE APPROVE A
19 POLICY TODAY THAT ESTABLISHES THAT FORFEITED EMPLOYEE
20 HEALTHCARE FUNDING ACCOUNT FUNDS BE TRANSFERRED TO THE
21 COUNTY'S RESERVE FOR OUR RAINY DAY FUND AT THE END OF EACH
22 FISCAL YEAR. THAT'S IT. SO I ASK FOR YOUR APPROVAL FOR THOSE
23 SIX ITEMS, PLEASE. THERE'S OTHER INFORMATION HERE, BUT I DON'T
24 THINK YOU WANT ME TO READ EVERY SINGLE ADJUSTMENT BUT THERE'S
25 22 ADJUSTMENTS, I'M SORRY, 27, FROM PAGES TWO TO THE TOP OF



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PAGE SIX. BUT I'LL LEAVE IT AT THAT UNLESS YOU HAVE ANY
2 QUESTIONS, SIR.

3

4 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR KNABE?

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** IS THIS ON THAT RESERVE ISSUE AS TO THE UNSPENT
7 HEALTH SPENDING ACCOUNT? IF THERE ARE RECEIPTS, THIS IS A
8 QUESTION EVERYBODY HAS BEEN ASKING ME. THE RECEIPTS AND THEY'D
9 GO THROUGH A NORMAL PROCESS TO ACCESS SOME OF THOSE DOLLARS,
10 THEY STILL HAVE THAT ABILITY, RIGHT?

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ABSOLUTELY.

13

14 **SUP. KNABE:** OKAY, THANK YOU.

15

16 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. SECONDED.
17 ANY OBJECTION? SO ORDERED. OKAY. ITEM NUMBER 2?

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ITEM NUMBER 2, I STATED THAT EARLIER, PROVIDED
20 MY UPDATE. SO IF WE CAN GO ON TO ITEM NUMBER 3, PLEASE? THIS
21 SPEAKS TO ISSUES RAISED AT OUR PRIOR PUBLIC HEARING. I ASKED
22 THAT WE RECEIVE AND FILE THIS INFORMATION.

23



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION TO APPROVE THE RECEIVE AND FILE
2 BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY. SECONDED WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO
3 ORDERED. NUMBER 4?

4

5 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NUMBER 4, THESE ARE ADJUSTMENTS FOR OUR FISCAL
6 YEAR 2011-2012 RECOMMENDED BUDGET. I ASK THAT YOU ADOPT THE
7 CHANGES AS STATED IN OUR JUNE 20TH MEMO ON THIS ISSUE, THAT
8 YOU REAFFIRM THE HARD HIRING FREEZE EXEMPTING CRITICAL HEALTH
9 AND SAFETY POSITIONS APPROVED BY YOUR BOARD BACK IN 2009, THAT
10 YOU INSTRUCT OUR OFFICE TO WORK WITH AUDITOR-CONTROLLER TO
11 FREEZE SERVICES AND SUPPLIES AND CAPITAL ASSET APPROPRIATIONS
12 FOR NONESSENTIAL PURCHASES OF SERVICES, SUPPLIES. AND CAPITAL
13 ASSETS. FOUR, THAT YOU ALLOW MY OFFICE TO EXECUTE A FUNDING
14 AGREEMENT IN THE AMOUNT OF 1.5 MILLION DOLLARS WITH THE
15 CALIFORNIA ENDOWMENT FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO FIRST FLOOR OF
16 GENERAL HOSPITAL. NOW, WITH RESPECT TO THE HIRING FREEZE AND
17 THE S&S FREEZE, IT'S MY INTENT TO COME BACK TO YOUR BOARD WITH
18 A PROCESS, I'LL CALL IT A REVISED EXPENDITURE PROCESS, THAT
19 WILL INCREASE THE DEPARTMENT'S ABILITY TO MANAGE THEIR
20 RESOURCES WHILE STILL ACHIEVING OUR FISCAL GOALS AND
21 OBJECTIVES. WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT IS BECAUSE THERE'S A LOT OF
22 PAPERWORK ASSOCIATED WITH THE HIRING FREEZE. AND DEPARTMENTS
23 ARE HAVING DIFFICULTY, ESPECIALLY GIVEN THAT THIS IS THE THIRD
24 YEAR OF THE HIRING FREEZE, TO FILL CRITICAL POSITIONS IN A
25 TIMELY MANNER. WE WORK VERY, VERY CLOSELY WITH DEPARTMENTS.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BUT I THINK WE CAN ALL AGREE THAT OVER THE LAST THREE YEARS,
2 OUR DEPARTMENTS HAVE DONE AN EXCELLENT JOB IN MANAGING THEIR
3 PROGRAMS AND SERVICES. WE WOULD NOT BE WHERE WE'RE AT RIGHT
4 NOW IF NOT FOR JUST THAT, THE FACT THAT EACH OF THEM, IN THEIR
5 OWN RIGHT, ARE STRONG FISCAL MANAGERS. SO WE'RE GOING TO
6 DEVELOP A PROCESS. WE'RE GOING TO WORK WITH YOUR BUDGET
7 DEPUTIES. BRING IT BACK TO THE BOARD AFTER CONSIDERABLE INPUT
8 FROM EACH DEPARTMENT AND DELIBERATIONS WITH YOUR STAFF TO LOOK
9 AT HOW WE CAN HAVE A BETTER PROCESS FOR MANAGING THESE
10 RESOURCES. BUT I ASK THAT YOU APPROVE THE FOUR RECOMMENDATIONS
11 THAT I STATED EARLIER. OR IF YOU HAVE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS.

12

13 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE BUDGET IS 905 MILLION LESS THAN
14 THE LAST YEAR'S ADOPTED BUDGET, RIGHT?

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ACTUALLY, FROM THE PREVIOUS YEAR, YES, SIR.

17

18 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. WHEN ARE WE GOING TO RECEIVE THE
19 REPORT ON UNFUNDED POSITIONS THAT HAVE BEEN, I SHOULD SAY
20 VACANT POSITIONS, THAT HAVE BEEN FUNDED BUT HAVE BEEN UNFILLED
21 FOR THE PAST 12 MONTHS FOR EACH DEPARTMENT?

22

23 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I BELIEVE WE'RE STILL IN THE PROCESS OF
24 DEVELOPING THAT REPORT. YOU ASKED US A COUPLE WEEKS AGO TO
25 LOOK AT ALL THE POSITIONS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN FILLED. THE VAST



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 MAJORITY OF THOSE POSITIONS ARE KEPT VACANT TO MEET A
2 DEPARTMENT'S SALARY SAVINGS GOAL. BUT WE WILL HAVE THAT FOR
3 YOU.

4

5 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. BECAUSE A LOT OF THOSE SALARY
6 SAVING GOALS ARE USING UP VALUABLE RESOURCES THAT COULD BE
7 SUPPORTING OTHER VITAL SERVICES AND ARE TRADITIONALLY AREAS
8 WHERE DEPARTMENTS ARE BUDGETED AND NEVER EXPEND THOSE DOLLARS,
9 WHICH INFLATE THE BUDGET SPENDING. QUESTION. IT ALLOCATES AN
10 ADDITIONAL 16 MILLION DOLLAR BECAUSE OF OUR PROP 172 SALES TAX
11 INCREASE AND 12.3 MILLION FOR MORE PROPERTY TAXES THAN HAVE
12 BEEN PROJECTED, ALTHOUGH THAT'S KIND OF A SLIPPERY SLOPE
13 BECAUSE I NOTICED THE SALES OF HOUSING HAVE DECREASED AND THE
14 PURCHASE PRICE HAVE ALSO DECREASED FROM THAT WHICH THE HOMES
15 HAVE BEEN VALUED FOR PREVIOUSLY. BUT ONE MOTION I WANT TO
16 INTRODUCE AND WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE TREASURER TAX COLLECTOR
17 COULD ALSO COME UP. I KNOW THAT FOR THE TREASURER TAX
18 COLLECTOR THAT YOU'RE RECOMMENDING A 4.2 MILLION DOLLARS FOR
19 THEM TO COMPLETE THE CLIENT ASSET MANAGEMENT SYSTEM PROJECT.
20 WHAT WOULD BE THE TOTAL COST OF THAT PROJECT?

21

22 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** BEFORE THE TREASURER ANSWERS, I HAD MENTIONED
23 EARLIER THAT WE, WITH THE ADDITIONAL REVENUE TOTALS 41.6
24 MILLION DOLLARS AND OF THAT WE'RE RECOMMENDING ALLOCATION TO
25 SOME FEW DEPARTMENTS FOR A TOTAL OF 22 MILLION DOLLARS THAT



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 HAVE CRITICAL NEEDS, WHICH INCLUDES 15 MILLION DOLLARS TO THE
2 SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, 1.5 TO THE D.A. MONEY SET IN P.F.U. FOR
3 THE PROBATION DISCHARGE AND MEDICAL SCREENING. AND THEN WE
4 HAVE A HOST OF OTHER SMALLER AMOUNTS THAT IS INCLUDED AS AN
5 ATTACHMENT TO OUR FINAL CHANGES MEMO. TREASURER TAX GO AHEAD.

6

7 **JOSEPH KELLY:** JOSEPH KELLY, CHIEF DEPUTY OF TREASURER-TAX.
8 SUPERVISOR, THE TOTAL BUDGET IS ABOUT 12-1/2 MILLION DOLLARS
9 AND THIS WILL BE THE LAST PAYMENT TOWARD THAT PROJECT?

10

11 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO THEN WILL BE COMPLETED?

12

13 **JOSEPH KELLY:** THAT IS CORRECT. WE EXPECT IMPLEMENTATION IN
14 AUGUST OF THIS YEAR.

15

16 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** GOOD.

17

18 **JOSEPH KELLY:** THE PROJECT IS A CASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM FOR OUR
19 PUBLIC ADMINISTRATOR AND PUBLIC GUARDIAN OPERATION. NOT ONLY
20 WILL THE TREASURER TAX COLLECTOR USE IT BUT THE SUPERIOR
21 COURT, COUNTY COUNSEL, CORONER, AND THE PUBLIC GUARDIAN UNDER
22 MENTAL HEALTH.

23

24 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** GOOD, OKAY. THANK YOU FOR THAT.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **JOSEPH KELLY:** YOU'RE WELCOME.

2

3 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IF YOU WANT TO OPEN UP, GET BACK TO
4 THE C.E.O., A RESTAURANT OR BUSINESS IN OUR COUNTY, YOU MUST
5 FIRST GO TO AT LEAST FIVE DIFFERENT AGENCIES WITH DIFFERENT
6 OFFICE HOURS IN FIVE DIFFERENT COMMUNITIES, WHICH IS VERY
7 IMPRACTICAL FOR A BUSINESS TO ACHIEVE THIS. I WOULD LIKE TO
8 KNOW THE, AND I HAVE THIS MOTION I WILL BE INTRODUCING IN WHAT
9 IS BEING DONE TO IMPROVE THE PERMITTING SYSTEM IN LIGHT OF THE
10 LARGE FEE INCREASES THE BOARD HAD PASSED WITHIN THE LAST YEAR,
11 AND THE CONCERN THAT THESE INDIVIDUALS HAVE OPPORTUNITIES TO
12 GO ONLINE TO FILE THEIR APPLICATIONS FOR PERMITS AND THE
13 REQUIREMENTS.

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE'RE GOING TO HAVE STAFF COME UP, SIR,
16 STARTING WITH OUR DIRECTOR OF REGIONAL PLANNING. BUT ALSO I
17 BELIEVE PUBLIC WORKS STAFF WILL COME UP TO EXPLAIN, PROVIDE
18 INFORMATION ON OUR PLMS PROJECT, THE PERMIT LAND MANAGEMENT
19 SOLUTION PROJECT AND GIVE A STATUS REPORT. THE CAMS PROJECT
20 THAT OUR TTT STAFF DISCUSSED EARLIER IS A PRIME EXAMPLE OF A
21 SYSTEM WHERE MULTIPLE DEPARTMENTS ARE WORKING TOGETHER TO
22 ENSURE THAT THEIR SERVICES ARE OFFERED IN A STREAMLINE BASIS
23 BUT ALSO THROUGH A VERY COLLABORATIVE EFFORT. TAKE IT AWAY.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SPEAKER:** MR. MAYOR, SUPERVISORS, SINCE LAST YEAR WHEN WE CAME
2 BEFORE YOU FOR THE FEE INCREASE AND THERE WAS A REQUIREMENT
3 FOR THE STAKEHOLDERS MEETING, WE'VE BEEN MEETING DILIGENTLY
4 WITH THAT STAKEHOLDERS GROUP. IN FACT, WE MET WITH THEM ABOUT
5 TWO WEEKS AGO. AND HAVE, THROUGH INTERDEPARTMENTAL MEETINGS
6 AND MEETINGS WITH THE STAKEHOLDERS LOOKED AT ALL OF OUR LAND
7 DEVELOPMENT PROCESSES AND ARE IN THE PROCESS OF STREAMLINING
8 THEM. PART OF THAT WILL BE A PROCESS TO ACTUALLY IS ON A GO-
9 FORWARD BASIS, A PROCESS TO LOOK AT ELECTRONIC SUBMITTALS,
10 ELECTRONIC TRACKING OF THOSE SUBMITTALS AS WELL AS ELECTRONIC
11 TRACKING OF ALL THE FEES SO THAT WE CAN BETTER TRACK BOTH THE
12 SUBMITTAL, COORDINATE WITH THE VARIETY OF DEPARTMENTS AND
13 TRACK THE FEES THAT HAVE BEEN PAID FOR THOSE SERVICES. SO
14 WE'VE BEEN PURSUING THE IDEA OF STREAMLINING ALL OF THE LAND
15 DEVELOPMENT PERMITS AND PROCESSES AND COORDINATING WITH
16 PRINCIPALLY WITH PUBLIC WORKS BUT ALSO WITH FIRE DEPARTMENT
17 AND OTHERS INVOLVED IN THAT PROCESS.

18

19 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHAT IS YOUR TIMELINE FOR THAT?

20

21 **SPEAKER:** WE HAVE, AGAIN, MEETING WITH THE STAKEHOLDER GROUP.
22 WE HAVE BEEN IMPLEMENTING THOSE. BUT OVER THE NEXT YEAR WE
23 HOPE TO SEE ACTUAL CHANGES IN THAT PROCESS. WE ALSO HAVE A
24 GRANT REQUEST INTO THE P.I.O. TO PILOT SOME OF THE ELECTRONICS
25 TO MAKE THAT, TO BRING THAT INTO FRUITION IN THE NEXT YEAR. SO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IN THE NEXT YEAR WE HOPE TO SEE REAL PROGRESS IN THAT. BECAUSE
2 WITH THE RESTAURANT, THAT ALSO INCLUDES PUBLIC HEALTH THEY
3 HAVE TO GO THROUGH, OR THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT, AND THE FIRE
4 DEPARTMENT.

5

6 **SPEAKER:** THEY'RE ALL PART OF THAT PROCESS IN COLLABORATING
7 WITH US. AND HOPEFULLY WE'LL BE ABLE TO NOT ONLY DEAL WITH
8 ELECTRONIC SUBMITTAL BUT ALSO ON PARTICULARLY DIFFICULT AND
9 COMPLEX CASES, COORDINATE ELECTRONIC MEETINGS, ET CETERA. SO
10 EVERYONE DOESN'T HAVE TO COME TO ONE SPOT. WE CAN MEET
11 REMOTELY WITH THE APPLICANTS.

12

13 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO YOU'RE ABLE TO MEET VIA
14 TELECOMMUTING?

15

16 **SPEAKER:** THAT'S PART OF THE UPCOMING PROJECT. SO NOT ONLY DO
17 THE APPLICANTS NOT HAVE TO COME DOWN FROM PARTICULARLY REMOTE
18 PARTS OF THE COUNTY, MORE REMOTE PARTS OF THE COUNTY, BUT ALSO
19 ALL THE DEPARTMENT HEAD DEPARTMENTS CAN MEET ELECTRONICALLY
20 AND HAVE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE DIFFERENT CASES AND TRACK THE
21 DIFFERENT CASES THROUGH THE VARIETY OF DEPARTMENTS.

22

23 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SHOW YOU HOW DIFFICULT IT IS FOR THE
24 PUBLIC, YOUR MEETINGS WERE ALL CONDUCTED WHERE YOU ALL HAD TO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 GO TO ONE DIFFERENT LOCATION FOR EACH MEETING, THEN YOU'D FEEL
2 THE PAIN.

3

4 **SPEAKER:** WE HOPE TO IMPROVE THAT.

5

6 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ARE WE LOOKING AT THIS COMING ONLINE
7 IN THE NEXT 90 DAYS? WHAT IS YOUR TIME FRAME?

8

9 **SPEAKER:** HOPEFULLY OVER THE NEXT- IT'S WILL PROBABLY TAKE THE
10 NEXT YEAR TO PILOT THIS, TO BE HONEST. AS WE GET INTO IT WITH
11 A VARIETY OF DEPARTMENTS AND THE COMPLEXITY OF THE VARIETY OF
12 SUBMITTALS, IT'S PROBABLY OVER THE NEXT YEAR TO DO THAT. AND
13 IN DOING SO, WE'RE, AGAIN, COORDINATING NOT ONLY INTERNALLY
14 BUT WITH THE STAKEHOLDER GROUP WHICH WE PUT TOGETHER WHICH
15 MEETS REGULARLY. AND AS GAIL SAID, WE CAN CERTAINLY GIVE YOU
16 REPORTS ON A QUARTERLY BASIS, KEEP YOU INFORMED OF HOW OUR
17 OPERATION'S MOVING FORWARD.

18

19 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. BUT, YOU KNOW, WITH A DOWN
20 ECONOMY, TRYING TO GET PEOPLE BACK TO WORK, THEN HAVING THOSE
21 TRYING TO PUT PEOPLE TO WORK GO THROUGH A CUMBERSOME PROCESS
22 THAT TAKES THEM A YEAR TO OPEN UP A RESTAURANT, IT'S
23 COUNTERPRODUCTIVE TO GETTING PEOPLE BACK TO WORK. SO THIS IS
24 KIND OF A SENSE OF URGENCY WHEN WE BROUGHT THIS UP DURING THE
25 EARLIER ISSUES REVOLVING AROUND FEE INCREASES THIS PAST YEAR.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 IT JUST SEEMS THAT WE NEED TO BE MOVING FASTER THAN STATUS
2 QUO.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** JUST TO FOLLOW-UP. THERE SHOULD ABSOLUTELY NO
5 REASON IT SHOULD TAKE A YEAR TO OPEN UP RESTAURANT.
6 PARTICULARLY ALL OF THEM. BUT YOU HAVE OTHERS THAT ARE MAIN
7 LINE KINDS OF SYSTEMS THAT HAVE FRANCHISES AND THINGS LIKE
8 THAT, AND REALLY PROVIDE THE JOBS AND PUTTING THAT WORKING
9 CAPITAL OUT THERE. THERE IS ABSOLUTELY NO REASON THAT IT
10 SHOULD TAKE A YEAR. THAT INCLUDES PUBLIC HEALTH.

11

12 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT, RIGHT. IT INCLUDES THOSE
13 AGENCIES THAT REGULATE THOSE.

14

15 **SPEAKER:** LET ME STATE THAT IT IS A PRIORITY FOR THE TWO
16 PRINCIPAL DEPARTMENTS: PUBLIC WORKS AND REGIONAL PLANNING. AND
17 WE DO MEET VERY OFTEN AT VERY HIGH LEVEL TO IMPLEMENT THIS. IF
18 WE CAN PHASE IT IN, WE CERTAINLY WILL.

19

20 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WITH PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE FIRE
21 DEPARTMENT?

22

23 **SPEAKER:** ABSOLUTELY, YES. AND IF WE CAN PHASE IN SOME OF THE
24 IMPLEMENTATION EARLIER, WE'LL DO THAT.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YOU SHOULD. BUT COULD WE GET A REPORT
2 BACK IN TWO WEEKS AS TO YOUR STATUS? AND AGAIN THAT TIME FRAME
3 SHOULD BE SHORTENED AS QUICK AS POSSIBLE BECAUSE YOU REALLY
4 ARE HOLDING DOWN GENERICALLY WE ARE HOLDING DOWN RECOVERY BY
5 PUTTING ALL THESE OBSTACLES IN PEOPLE'S PATH.

6

7 **SPEAKER:** YES, WE CAN REPORT BACK IN TWO WEEKS ON A TIME FRAME
8 AND WHERE WE ARE IN THE PROCESS.

9

10 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANY QUESTIONS FOR THESE INDIVIDUALS?
11 OKAY. AND THEN, THANK YOU. WITH RESPECT, MR. FUJIOKA, ARE YOU
12 RECOMMENDING BACKFILLING ANY PROGRAMMATIC CUTS TO STATE AND
13 FEDERAL PROGRAMS?

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NOT AT THIS TIME.

16

17 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WITH RESPECT TO THE SHERIFF'S
18 DEPARTMENT, WITH TODAY'S ACTION, THE SHERIFF WILL HAVE A
19 BUDGET GAP. HOW MUCH IS THAT GAP PROJECTED TO BE?

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE INITIALLY ESTIMATED THE GAP TO BE A TOTAL
22 OF 42 MILLION DOLLARS. THAT ASSUMED THAT THE SHERIFF WILL
23 CARRY OVER FROM THIS YEAR 10 MILLION DOLLARS INTO HIS BUDGET.
24 THE COMMITMENT WAS MADE TO ALLOW HIM TO RETAIN THAT CARRYOVER.
25 TODAY, IF APPROVED, YOUR BOARD WILL PUT 15 MILLION INTO HIS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BUDGET AND THERE WILL STILL BE 27 MILLION DOLLARS THAT
2 REPRESENTS A CURTAILMENT IN HIS DEPARTMENT. I ASK THAT WE, AS
3 WE LOOK AT THIS, WE DON'T ADDRESS THIS PROBLEM UNTIL WE LOOK
4 AT OUR SUPPLEMENTAL BUDGET IN SEPTEMBER. WE NEED TO SEE HOW WE
5 END THE YEAR FOR THE COUNTY AS A WHOLE IN LOOKING AT TOTAL
6 CARRYOVERS. BUT EVEN MORE IMPORTANT, BECAUSE OF THE
7 UNCERTAINTIES AT THE STATE LEVEL, THAT WE WAIT UNTIL WE HAVE
8 SOME CLARITY ON WHAT THE STATE'S GOING TO DO TO OUR COUNTY
9 BEFORE WE MAKE ANY ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS.

10

11 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND DO YOU PLAN TO ADDRESS THE BUDGET
12 GAP IN THE SEPTEMBER FINAL?

13

14 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I INTEND TO AT LEAST DISCUSS IT WITH YOU BY
15 SEPTEMBER, DEPENDING ON IF WE MAKE IT A FIRM COMMITMENT TODAY,
16 SIR, IT MAY BE DIFFICULT BECAUSE THE STATE SITUATION, THE
17 UNCERTAINTY OF THE STATE SITUATION STILL HAS ME, I THINK HAS
18 ALL OF US COLLECTIVELY VERY SERIOUSLY CONCERNED. SO IF THERE
19 ARE ADDITIONAL FUNDS LEFT OVER AS A CONSEQUENCE OF CLOSING OUR
20 BOOKS, WE MAY HAVE SOME COMPETING PRIORITIES.

21

22 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THE PROBLEM WE HAVE IS THAT THE
23 STATE'S DUMPING OF THE NONREVOCABLE PAROLEEES ON OUR STREETS,
24 AND THAT HAS BEEN AN ADDITIONAL, WHAT? \$10.5 MILLION HIT THAT
25 WE'RE TAKING OUT OF OUR BUDGET THIS YEAR THAT'S UNFUNDED. THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SHERIFF IS REALLY AND ONLY THE FIRST LINE OF DEFENSE. SO
2 WEAKENING THEM IS THE WRONG APPROACH TO TAKE IN HELPING OUR
3 COMMUNITIES BE SAFE.

4

5 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I SHARE THAT CONCERN. I THINK AS WE MOVE
6 FORWARD, ONCE WE RESOLVE THE STATE ISSUES, I FEEL THE SHERIFF
7 SHOULD HAVE PRIORITY STATUS FOR ANY CARRY OVER FUNDS AS WE
8 MOVE FORWARD.

9

10 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND OUR STATE LOBBYISTS ARE
11 AGGRESSIVELY PURSUING NO RE-ALIGNMENT PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT
12 FULLY FUNDED.

13

14 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THERE'S A YES TO THAT. EVEN MORE SO, NOT ONLY
15 OUR STATE LOBBYISTS, BUT AS I MENTIONED I'VE BEEN TALKING TO
16 MY COUNTERPARTS FOR THEIR 12 URBAN COUNTIES. I THINK WE NEED
17 TO SPEAK IN ONE VOICE ABOUT THAT. I WOULD ENLIST THE HELP OF
18 ALL 58 COUNTIES, BUT THE GREATEST IMPACT IS ON THE 12 URBAN
19 COUNTIES IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. WE NEED TO BE KIND OF
20 JOINED AT THE HIP ON THIS CRITICAL ISSUE

21

22 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT I THINK IF I COULD INTERJECT, I THINK FROM A
23 CSAC POSITION, THIS IS ONE MUCH THOSE RARE OPPORTUNITIES WHERE
24 WE CAN GET ALL 58 COUNTIES.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** SURE.

2

3 **SUP. KNABE:** TO ASSIST US. I MEAN OBVIOUSLY THE URBAN COUNTY
4 CAUCUS IS THE MOST DIRECTLY IMPACTED, BUT THE OTHERS IS JUST A
5 PROPORTION OF DIFFERENT NUMBERS.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I PERSONALLY WOULD LIKE TO SEE CSAC GET A
8 LITTLE MORE AGGRESSIVE ON THIS ONE. THEY'VE BEEN WORKING ON
9 IT. WE'VE HAD A LOT OF CALLS AND A LOT OF DISCUSSIONS WITH
10 THEM. BUT GIVEN WHAT HAPPENED LAST WEEK, THERE IS A SENSE OF
11 URGENCY.

12

13 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ON ANOTHER ISSUE I'M TOLD THAT LA-
14 RICS JPA IS NOW NEGOTIATING WITH THE WINNING BIDDER AND PLAN
15 TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS TO THE GOVERNING BOARD SOMETIME IN
16 AUGUST. NOW IN THE COUNTY, WE HAVE A BIG STAKE IN THIS
17 PROJECT. AND WITH FOUR COUNTY VOTING MEMBERS ON THE JPA'S
18 GOVERNING BOARD, NO VOTE OUGHT TO BE TAKEN WITHOUT AN ACTION
19 BY THIS BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AS TO THE SUPPORT OF THIS
20 PROGRAM.

21

22 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND, SIR. THERE'S ALSO THERE'S BEEN
23 RECENT DEVELOPMENT WITH A BILL, S.B.911 THAT RECENTLY LEFT
24 COMMITTEE THAT PROVIDES THE OPPORTUNITY FOR A COUNTY LIKE OURS
25 AND OTHER MUNICIPALITIES TO GAIN ACCESS TO WHAT'S BEEN CALLED



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 D-BLOCK. AND THAT IS GOING TO IMPACT ACTIONS WE'RE TAKING AT
2 LA-RICS I'LL BE HAPPY TO REPORT BACK ON EACH AND EVERY ACTION
3 WE TAKE. IN FACT, IF YOU WANT, WE CAN MAKE IT A REGULAR AGENDA
4 ITEM, SEEK YOUR APPROVAL.

5

6 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHAT DOES THE LEGISLATION DO? AND WHO
7 INTRODUCED IT?

8

9 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I COULDN'T TELL YOU THE NAME OF THE PERSON,
10 THE SENATOR, WHO INTRODUCED IT, I JUST FORGOT. BUT WHAT IT
11 DOES IS IT GIVES US THE OPPORTUNITY TO GAIN ACCESS TO MORE
12 SPECTRUM, TO MORE FREQUENCIES. AND ALSO, BECAUSE OF THAT,
13 THERE MAY BE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TEAM UP, IN MY MIND, I THINK,
14 THERE'S A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO TEAM UP WITH A COMMERCIAL
15 CARRIER. IT WAS ALWAYS OUR PLAN TO BUILD OUT OUR OWN
16 INFRASTRUCTURE, TO BUILD OUR OWN CELL TOWERS. AND THAT'S HOW
17 THE CURRENT RFP IS STRUCTURED. BUT WHAT THIS BILL MOVING
18 FORWARD AND WITH THE PROSPECTS OF THIS BILL BEING SUCCESSFUL,
19 IT CREATES THE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTNER WITH A COMMERCIAL
20 CARRIER SO THAT INSTEAD OF BUILDING A HOST OF CELL TOWERS
21 OURSELVES OR COMMUNICATION TOWERS, WE COULD PIGGYBACK ON THE
22 EXISTING TOWERS. THROUGH THAT PROCESS, WE POTENTIALLY COULD
23 REDUCE OUR COSTS FOR LA-RICS ANYWHERE FROM 20 TO 30 PERCENT.
24 SO THAT'S JUST LITERALLY HAPPENED JUST TWO WEEKS AGO. I'VE
25 BEEN TALKING TO STAFF, AND ALSO THE SHERIFF AND I HAVE HAD



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SEVERAL DISCUSSIONS ON THIS TO THE POINT WE THINK IT WOULD BE
2 SOMETHING WE MUST PURSUE WITH THAT TYPE OF COST REDUCTION. BUT
3 ALSO THERE EXISTS THE POTENTIAL TO GET SOME REVENUE THROUGH
4 THAT EFFORT TO MAINTAIN THE PROGRAM ON AN ONGOING BASIS.

5

6 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** PERHAPS YOU COULD TELL US WHO
7 INTRODUCED THE BILL AND WHO ARE THE GROUPS SUPPORTING THAT
8 LEGISLATION? AND WHEN DO YOU PLAN TO PROVIDE US WITH AN UPDATE
9 AND A RECOMMENDATION?

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE CAN GET SOMETHING OUT IN WRITING THIS WEEK
12 AND WE'LL WORK WITH YOUR OFFICE TO PLACE IT ON THE APPROPRIATE
13 AGENDA.

14

15 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** FOLLOWUP QUESTION ON THAT. COULD YOU WALK US
18 THROUGH HOW THIS SAVES US MONEY, THIS BILL?

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WHAT IT DOES IS BECAUSE WE, IF WE GAIN ACCESS
21 TO ADDITIONAL SPECTRUM, THE ADDITIONAL FREQUENCIES, IT'S
22 SOMETHING THAT A COMMERCIAL CARRIER WOULD BE VERY, VERY
23 INTERESTED IN. THE WAY THE CURRENT PROPOSAL IS STRUCTURED, WE
24 WERE GOING TO BUILD OUR OWN COMMUNICATION TOWERS THROUGHOUT
25 THE COUNTY. IF IN FACT WE'RE SUCCESSFUL IN DEVELOPING A



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 PARTNERSHIP WITH A COMMERCIAL TOWER, THEY HAVE HUNDREDS OF
2 COMMUNICATION TOWERS IN THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES. WE
3 LITERALLY CAN- AND I THINK IT'S EITHER TWO OR THREE OF THE
4 MAJOR CARRIERS ARE IN THE PROCESS OF DESIGNING AND THEN
5 SUBSEQUENTLY WILL UPGRADE ALL THEIR TOWERS. WE LITERALLY, IF
6 THIS WORKS OUT, IN MY MIND, WE COULD PLACE OUR EQUIPMENT ON
7 THEIR TOWERS, WHICH WOULD ELIMINATE THE NEED FOR US TO BUILD
8 THOSE TOWERS. ALSO TO FIND THE LAND AND GO THROUGH ALL THE
9 ENVIRONMENTAL REQUIREMENTS ASSOCIATED WITH BUILDING THOSE
10 TOWERS.

11

12 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** HOW DOES THAT RELATE TO THE STATE
13 LEGISLATION?

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THE STATE LEGISLATION ALLOWS US TO- WELL AT
16 ONE POINT THE STATE-- IT'S NOT THE STATE. IT'S FEDERAL. AT ONE
17 TIME THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WAS GOING TO SELL D-BLOCK.
18 INSTEAD, THE WAY IT'S STRUCTURED NOW THAT D-BLOCK WILL BE
19 RESTRICTED TO USE BY A GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY. BUT THE BILL
20 ITSELF, ONCE WE HAVE ACCESS TO D-BLOCK, WILL NOT PREVENT US
21 FROM ALLOWING A COMMERCIAL CARRIER TO USE SOME OF OUR
22 AUTHORITY OR THE SPECTRUM THAT WE HAVE. IT'S A PRETTY
23 INTERESTING PROPOSAL THAT'S JUST EVOLVING RIGHT NOW. BUT IF WE
24 COULD JUST IN PRACTICAL TERMS, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN US



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BUILDING HUNDREDS OF TOWERS, ACQUIRING THE LAND, BUILDING THE
2 TOWERS.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I UNDERSTAND THAT. YOU EXPLAINED THAT.
5 WHAT'S THE NUMBER OF THIS BILL?

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** S.B.911.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** AND YOU SAID IT CAME OUT OF WHAT COMMITTEE?

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I'LL HELP WITH THAT. WE'LL GET THAT FOR YOU
12 AND REPORT BACK.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** GET US THE STATUS OF THE BILL.

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IT'S VERY EXCITING. IT OFFERS A VERY, VERY
17 GOOD OPPORTUNITY FOR US NOT ONLY TO REDUCE OUR CONSTRUCTION
18 COSTS BUT THE POTENTIAL OF EVEN CREATING GENERATING SOME
19 REVENUE OUT OF THIS PROGRAM IS HUGE.

20

21 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ON PUBLIC HEALTH, YOU GOT PART OF YOUR
22 14.3 MILLION DOLLAR PLACE-HOLDER REDUCTION THAT WE APPROVED IN
23 APRIL. WILL YOU BE ABLE TO BEGIN ANY OF YOUR RIGHT-SIZING
24 EFFORTS TO ELIMINATE REDUNDANCY?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I'LL HAVE PUBLIC HEALTH COME UP. ON THAT YOU
2 KNOW THAT WE HAD FOUR UNRESOLVED BUDGET I'LL CALL THEM PLACE-
3 HOLDERS. THERE'S ONE IN HEALTH SERVICES OF 312 MILLION
4 DOLLARS, MENTAL HEALTH OF 14.7, PUBLIC HEALTH OF 14.3. AND AS
5 YOU KNOW, WE'RE WORKING ON THE PROBATION SHORTFALL. WE'RE ABLE
6 TO CLOSE THE PLACE-HOLDERS FOR THE FIRST THREE DEPARTMENTS,
7 HEALTH SERVICES, MENTAL HEALTH AND PUBLIC HEALTH. NOW WE HAVE
8 PUBLIC HEALTH STAFF WHO CAN SPEAK TO THEIR PROGRAM, NOT ONLY
9 THE PLACE-HOLDERS, BUT YOUR CONCERN ABOUT ELIMINATING
10 REDUNDANCIES.

11

12 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** GOOD MORNING.

13

14 **DR. JONATHAN FIELDING:** THANK YOU, MAYOR ANTONOVICH. YES, WE
15 HAVE 12 CLINICS. WE HAVE ABOUT 265,000 VISITS IN FOUR SERVICE
16 LINES AND WE ARE DOING A LOT. WE HAVE REDUCED THE STAFFING AT
17 OUR CLINICS BY OVER 50 POSITIONS WE HAVE ALSO GOTTEN RID OF
18 OUR OR ARE GETTING RID OF OUR OLDEST BUILDING, WHICH WAS VERY
19 INEFFICIENT. AND WITH THE NEW CONSTRUCTION OF THE MARTIN
20 LUTHER KING CENTER FOR PUBLIC HEALTH, IT ALLOWS US TO
21 STREAMLINE FURTHER OPERATIONS. WE HAVE INCREASED OUR THROUGH-
22 PUTS OF PATIENTS. WE ARE DOING A NUMBER OF THINGS TO INCREASE
23 EFFICIENCIES INCLUDING PROVIDING RESULTS VIA TELEPHONE INSTEAD
24 OF PEOPLE WHO HAD HAD TESTING FOR SEXUALLY TRANSMITTED
25 DISEASES COMING BACK TO GET THEIR RESULTS. WE CONSOLIDATED OUR



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SESSIONS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE THE RIGHT NUMBER AND THAT
2 WE'RE, IN FACT, BEING AS EFFICIENT AS POSSIBLE. WE HAVE MOVED
3 TO DIGITAL X-RAYS, WHICH ALLOWS X-RAYS TO BE BOTH ARCHIVED,
4 MORE EASILY READ FROM A COMMON SOURCE INSTEAD OF HAVING TO
5 HAVE PEOPLE TO READ X-RAYS OR MOVING X-RAYS AROUND. WE HAVE
6 REDUCED OUR DRUG COSTS SIGNIFICANTLY PARTICULARLY FOR
7 TUBERCULOSIS TREATMENT, AND WE'RE LOOKING AT A BLOOD-BASED
8 TEST FOR TUBERCULOSIS. WE'RE ALSO LOOKING AT THE POSSIBILITY
9 OF FOR FEES FOR PROVIDING SOME OF OUR IMMUNIZATION SERVICES.
10 IN THE PAST WHEN WE LOOKED AT IT, IT WAS NOT COST-EFFECTIVE TO
11 DO THAT. BUT WE'RE LOOKING AT THAT AGAIN. IN TERMS OF OUR
12 STAFFING, WE'RE ALSO LOOKING FOR HEALTH REFORM AND THE EFFECTS
13 THAT THAT WOULD LIKELY HAVE STARTING IN 2014 AND BEYOND. AND
14 WE WILL BE COMING BACK AND THAT WILL BE FACTORED INTO OUR
15 STRATEGIC PLAN AND INTO OUR BUDGET PLANNING AS THAT NEARS. BUT
16 WE'RE ALSO TRYING TO BALANCE OUR INTERNAL STAFFING ON THE
17 CLINICAL SIDE WITH THE NEED TO HAVE THE LEVEL OF EMERGENCY
18 PREPAREDNESS THAT WE ALL HAVE NOW GOTTEN ACCUSTOMED TO AND
19 THAT IS CRITICAL IN TERMS OF OUR INFRASTRUCTURE.

20

21 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** HOW SOON DO YOU THINK WE CAN ELIMINATE
22 SOME OF THIS REDUNDANCY?

23

24 **DR. JONATHAN FIELDING:** WELL, I THINK WE'RE DOING THAT. AND
25 WE'VE DONE THAT EVERY YEAR OVER THE LAST FOUR YEARS. I DON'T



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THINK THERE ARE ANY REDUNDANCIES, BUT I THINK THERE ARE
2 ADDITIONAL OPPORTUNITIES FOR EFFICIENCIES. AND WITH THE 2014,
3 THERE MAY BE SOME REDUNDANCIES BASED ON HEALTH REFORM. WE ARE
4 LOOKING CLOSELY AT THAT.

5

6 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU.

7

8 **DR. JONATHAN FIELDING:** THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

9

10 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THANK YOU. BILL, ON THE HUMAN
11 RESOURCES, VARIOUS NEW H.R. POSITIONS CONTINUE TO BE ADDED
12 EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE NEW STRATEGIC PLAN HAS CALLED FOR
13 CONSOLIDATING CERTAIN H.R. FUNCTIONS. THE QUESTION IS, CALL
14 LISA?

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** SHE'S COMING UP.

17

18 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** HOW FAR ALONG ARE YOU AT DETERMINING
19 WHICH HUMAN RESOURCE MANAGEMENT POSITIONS ARE BEST CENTRALIZED
20 AND OTHERS LEFT WITHIN THE DEPARTMENTS? AND HOW CAN WE
21 ACCELERATE THE CONSOLIDATION ALONG A FASTER PACE?

22

23 **LISA GARRETT:** GOOD MORNING, SUPERVISORS. MR. MAYOR, ACTUALLY
24 JUST LAST THURSDAY, WE'VE HAD SEVERAL MEETINGS ON THE ISSUE OF
25 H.R. SERVICE DELIVERY WITHIN THE COUNTY. WE HAVE DEVISED AN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 H.R. EXECUTIVE ADVISORY COMMITTEE, WHICH CONSISTS OF
2 DEPARTMENT HEADS AND CHIEF DEPUTIES TO REVIEW H.R. SERVICE
3 DELIVERY ACROSS THE COUNTY. WE ALSO HAVE TWO SUBCOMMITTEES
4 THAT WERE FORMED OFF OF THAT, ONE IN PARTICULAR IS THE H.R.
5 ARCHITECTURE SUBCOMMITTEE. AND THAT SUBCOMMITTEE AND THE
6 DEPARTMENT HEADS MET JUST LAST WEEK. AND WHAT I HAVE
7 ENVISIONED WITH THE HELP OF THE DEPARTMENT HEADS AND MANY
8 OTHER GROUPS IS AN H.R. SERVICE DELIVERY MODEL WHICH WOULD
9 HAVE A SHARING OF H.R. SERVICE, EXCUSE ME I HAVE IT RIGHT
10 HERE. WHICH WOULD CONSIST OF AN H.R. SERVICE DELIVERY MODEL
11 WHICH WOULD HAVE CENTERS OF EXPERTISE FOR H.R., WHICH WOULD
12 HAVE PERSONS THAT HAVE H.R. EXPERTISE IN VARIOUS H.R.
13 DISCIPLINES, AND ALSO A CLIENT SUPPORT SERVICES CENTER THAT
14 WOULD BE MY H.R. UTOPIA. AND I CAN PROVIDE THE BOARD COPIES OF
15 THOSE MODELS. WE REVIEWED ABOUT SIX MODELS WITH THE DEPARTMENT
16 HEADS AND ALSO THE VARIOUS COMMITTEES THAT I'VE MENTIONED. AND
17 JUST LAST WEEK WE AGREED UPON A PHASED-IN APPROACH TO THAT.
18 THE PHASED-IN APPROACH WOULD INITIALLY START WITH A DOTTED
19 LINE OR MATRICS ASSIGNMENT OF THE DEPARTMENTAL HUMAN RESOURCES
20 MANAGERS WITHIN THE DEPARTMENTS, A DOTTED LINE APPROACH TO THE
21 H.R. CENTRAL, WHICH HAS NOT BEEN DONE IN THE PAST. AND WE ARE
22 GOING TO BE BUILDING ON THE COLLABORATION BETWEEN THE CENTRAL
23 AGENCY AND THE DEPARTMENTS ON EACH AND EVERY ONE OF THE H.R.
24 DISCIPLINES THAT WE ARE CHARGED WITH ENFORCING. AS FAR AS THE
25 TIMELINE, WE ARE GOING TO GIVE A REPORT BACK TO YOUR BOARD



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THIS MONTH WITHIN THE NEXT TWO WEEKS ON THE WORK THAT WE HAVE
2 DONE IN THE AREA OF DEVELOPING AND IMPLEMENTING A MODEL. AND,
3 AGAIN, WE HAVE AGREED UPON A SHARED APPROACH, BUT I DO HAVE A
4 LONG TERM VISION OF WHERE I THINK WE SHOULD GO. AS YOU KNOW,
5 WE HAVE BEEN WORKING ON CENTRALIZING, I SHOULD SAY, AND
6 STANDARDIZING A LOT OF THE PRACTICES OF H.R. WITHIN THE COUNTY
7 BUILDING ON AUTOMATION AND IMPLEMENTING THAT AUTOMATION TO
8 ALLOW FOR MORE CENTRALIZED AND UNIFORM, I SHOULD SAY, UNIFORM,
9 MAKING MORE UNIFORM THE PRACTICES WITHIN THE COUNTY. AND,
10 AGAIN, A REPORT BACK IS COMING TO YOUR BOARD WITHIN THE NEXT
11 TWO WEEKS.

12

13 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. IS THAT IT? GO ON.

14

15 **LISA GARRETT:** THANK YOU.

16

17 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? AND THEN THE LAST
18 POINT. TODAY'S ACTIONS, IS THERE INCREASE OR DECREASE RELATIVE
19 TO THE TRIAL COURT BASED BUDGET?

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THERE'S A MINOR INCREASE RELATED TO CHILD
22 COURTS. I'LL FIND THAT FOR YOU. IN MY NOTES HERE SOMEWHERE.

23

24 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THEN AFTER YOU FIND YOUR NOTES,
25 SUPERVISOR KNABE?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** MAYBE SUPERVISOR KNABE CAN SPEAK AND I'LL GET
3 THAT ANSWER TO YOU, SIR.

4

5 **SUP. KNABE:** I'M GOING TO ASK YOU SOME QUESTIONS. I SEE WHERE
6 YOU'RE RECOMMENDING THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT BE ALLOCATED A
7 NUMBER OF NEW POSITIONS. WHAT ARE THOSE ITEMS FOR?

8

9 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IN HEALTH SERVICES, WE'VE HAD TO ADD POSITIONS
10 FOR THE GRADUATE MEDICAL EDUCATION PROGRAM. THERE'S 106
11 POSITIONS. THERE ARE NEW REGULATIONS REQUIRING VERY SPECIFIC
12 STAFFING REQUIREMENTS FOR THE INTERNS AND RESIDENTS. THERE'S
13 AN ADDITIONAL 99 POSITIONS, REGISTERED NURSE POSITIONS AT
14 L.A.C. + U.S.C. MEDICAL CENTER TO STAFF INTENSIVE CARE UNITS.
15 BUT THESE POSITIONS ARE NEEDED TO COMPLY WITH THE STATE SURVEY
16 CORRECTIONS ACTION PLAN FOR NONCOMPLIANCE TO NURSE-PATIENT
17 STAFFING RATIOS FOR BREAK AND LUNCH COVERAGES. SO THOSE ARE
18 THE TWO.

19

20 **SUP. KNABE:** AND WITH THAT, HAVE YOU GONE THROUGH THEIR BOOKS
21 TO CLEAN UP THEIR ORDINANCE ITEMS AND MATCH UP TO BE ABLE TO
22 DO THIS?

23

24 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WELL, ACTUALLY WE'RE DOING THAT FOR ALMOST
25 EVERY DEPARTMENT AS A PART OF OUR NEW E-CAMPS ITEM CONTROL



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 EFFORT TO LOOK AT EVERY SINGLE POSITION, LOOK AT THE ORDINANCE
2 AUTHORITIES. FOR THE FIRST TIME, OUR GOAL IS FOR THE FIRST
3 TIME IS TO IMPLEMENT AN ITEM CONTROL FOR THE ENTIRE COUNTY.
4 IT'S A HUGE EFFORT.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** HOW ABOUT, I DIDN'T GET A CHANCE TO INTERVENE ON
7 THE QUESTION WHEN JONATHAN WAS UP HERE, BUT IT'S MY
8 UNDERSTANDING WE'RE NOT CLOSING ANY PUBLIC HEALTH CLINICS AS
9 PART OF THIS BUDGET, IS THAT CORRECT?

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** AT THIS POINT IN TIME, NO.

12

13 **SUP. KNABE:** AT THIS POINT, RIGHT?

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES.

16

17 **SUP. KNABE:** HOW IS THE WAIVER REVENUE LOOKING AT THIS
18 PARTICULAR POINT?

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** AS PART OF THE BUDGET, WHEN I MENTIONED THAT
21 WE WERE ABLE TO CLOSE OUR PLACE-HOLDERS, THE LARGEST ONE BEING
22 312, ALMOST 313 MILLION DOLLARS WAS IN OUR DEPARTMENT OF
23 HEALTH SERVICES. OF THAT 313, 290 IS ATTRIBUTED TO THE NEW
24 1115 WAIVER REVENUE AND THEN SOME OTHER MINOR ADJUSTMENTS. THE
25 DEPARTMENT, AND OUR NEW DIRECTOR OF HEALTH SERVICES, HAS AN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 AGGRESSIVE PLAN TO MAKE SURE THAT WE MAXIMIZE THE AMOUNT OF
2 REVENUE WE RECEIVE OUT OF THE 1115 WAIVER.

3

4 **SUP. KNABE:** IN THE MEDIA BUDGET ADJUSTMENT FOR TODAY, I SEE
5 WHERE D.C.F.S. IS HAVING TO MOVE A LARGE AMOUNT OF FUNDING
6 FROM OTHER UNITS TO THEIR SALARY BUDGET TO COVER STAFFING
7 COSTS. IS NOT THE STATE FULLY REIMBURSING US FOR ALL THESE
8 ITEMS? WHEN WE ORIGINALLY TALKED ABOUT THIS AND THE AGREEMENT
9 WAS MADE THAT WHEN WE HIRED THESE FOLKS THAT THEY REALLY SPOKE
10 IN TERMS OF REVENUE OFFSET. IS THAT NOT THE CASE?

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I NEED D.C.F.S. TO COME UP. I ALSO SHARE THAT
13 UNDERSTANDING. I THOUGHT THAT WAS THE CASE. TO LARGE EXTENT
14 THERE WAS REVENUE AVAILABLE FOR THOSE POSITIONS. WE CAN ASK
15 OUR INTERIM DIRECTOR WHO IS SITTING IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM TO
16 COME UP AND SPEAK TO THAT ISSUE.

17

18 **SUP. KNABE:** OKAY. AND WHILE THAT PERSON IS COMING UP, THE
19 OTHER QUESTION, I JUST SAW AN EMAIL THIS MORNING FROM RYAN AS
20 IT RELATES TO THE CITY OF VERNON AND THE SPECIAL DISTRICT
21 THAT'S BEING CREATED AND RUN BY THE FIVE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD
22 OF SUPERVISORS? WHAT IMPACT WOULD THAT HAVE ON OUR BUDGET?

23

24 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WELL, THAT'S JUST STARTING TO HAPPEN. IT'S
25 GOING THROUGH THE PROCESS OF US OBTAINING INFORMATION. I DON'T



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BELIEVE WE'LL HAVE A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON OUR BUDGET GIVEN THE
2 REVENUE THAT'S GENERATED IN VERNON. IT JUST INCORPORATED. I
3 COULDN'T CALL IT THE CITY OF VERNON ANYMORE. BUT RIGHT NOW A
4 VERY PRELIMINARY BASIS.

5

6 **SUP. KNABE:** I KNOW EVERYTHING IS PRELIMINARY. BUT I THINK THAT
7 NEEDS TO BE ON OUR HORIZON HERE.

8

9 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I AGREE.

10

11 **SUP. KNABE:** BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND THE REVENUE PIECE BECAUSE
12 OBVIOUSLY THEY'VE GOT SOME PRETTY DECENT REVENUES COMING OUT
13 OF THE CITY. THE ISSUE BEING, THOUGH, IF IT'S A SPECIAL
14 DISTRICT, HOW THIS COUNTY IS ABLE TO ACCESS ANY OF THOSE
15 REVENUES.

16

17 **MIKE ANONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR MOLINA?

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** WE'RE WORKING ON IT NOW. IT STILL NEEDS TO BE
20 WORKED OUT. RIGHT NOW, IT IS VERY CONVOLUTED. IT DOESN'T EVEN
21 COME WITH REVENUE. AND IT COMES WITH CONDITIONS AND CONTRACTS.
22 SO WE HAVE TO BE VERY CAREFUL.

23

24 **SUP. KNABE:** OKAY. I JUST SAW THAT EMAIL THIS MORNING. BECAUSE
25 THAT'S THE FIRST TIME I HEARD OUR NAME MENTIONED.



The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors

1

2 **SUP. MOLINA:** OUR NAME IS MENTIONED. AND WE HAVE BEEN HEARING
3 ABOUT IT SINCE FRIDAY. BUT WE'RE WORKING WITH THE AUTHOR
4 BECAUSE THERE ARE SOME IMPLICATIONS THERE THAT MAKE IT LOOK
5 LIKE WE WOULD BE IN CHARGE. BUT THE WAY THEY'RE SETTING IT UP
6 IS WE'D HAVE TO INHERIT ALL THE CONTRACTS THAT ARE THERE, HAVE
7 VERY LITTLE JURISDICTION. SO WE'RE TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT
8 THAT MEANS COMPLETELY.

9

10 **SUP. KNABE:** I MEAN I COULD SEE A DIRECT LINK IN THAT ORIGINAL
11 LOOK-SEE THAT THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT. BUT ANY TIME I SEE THE
12 COMMUNITY DISTRICT, SPECIAL DISTRICT, I MEAN OBVIOUSLY THE
13 ACCESS TO DOLLARS BECOMES MORE DIFFICULT.

14

15 **SUP. MOLINA:** RIGHT.

16

17 **SUP. KNABE:** SO YOU'LL KEEP US INFORMED?

18

19 **SUP. MOLINA:** ABSOLUTELY. IN FACT, I WAS GOING TO SEND YOU AN
20 EMAIL OF WHERE WE ARE ON IT, BUT EVERY TIME WE GET A LITTLE
21 BIT MORE INFORMATION IT SEEMS-

22

23 **SUP. KNABE:** IT SEEMS LIKE PRETTY MUCH A GUMBY, RIGHT?

24

25 **SUP. MOLINA:** YEAH.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. KNABE:** BACK TO D.C.F.S., THEN?

3

4 **SPEAKER:** SUPERVISORS, THE COSTS ARE FULLY COVERED. THIS CHANGE
5 IS TO ADDRESS AN APPROPRIATION ISSUE IN ORDER TO BE ABLE TO
6 COVER THE COST FOR BOTH THE TEMPORARY WORKERS AND THE OVERTIME
7 THAT HAS BEEN UTILIZED TO ADDRESS THE E.R. OVER 60 BACKLOG.

8

9 **SUP. KNABE:** SO THIS IS NOT AS IT RELATES TO THE POSITIONS WE
10 PUT TOGETHER WITH THE ADDITIONAL FUNDING? IS THAT CORRECT?

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WHEN YOU SAY- WHEN SHE MENTIONED THE
13 ADDITIONAL POSITIONS REFLECTING THE BUDGET ARE FULLY OFFSET BY
14 REVENUE.

15

16 **SUP. KNABE:** THAT'S POSITIONS. BUT THEN SHE SAID THIS IS
17 ADDITIONAL DOLLARS FOR THE E.R. OVER 60 BACKFILL OVERTIME?

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THERE WERE A NUMBER OF POSITIONS THAT WERE
20 HIRED ON A TEMPORARY BASIS. A LOT OF THEM WERE INDIVIDUALS ON
21 120-DAY POSITIONS WHO WERE BROUGHT IN BECAUSE OF THEIR
22 EXPERIENCE AND THEIR EXPERTISE TO REDUCE THE NUMBER OF
23 EMERGENCY REFERRALS OVER 60 DAYS.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. KNABE:** HOW DOES THE TEMPORARY RING OUT THEN AND MATCH UP
2 WITH THE WORD "OVERTIME"? TEMPORARY FOLKS ARE NOT OVERTIME
3 FOLKS.

4
5 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THEY'RE NOT OVERTIME.

6
7 **SPEAKER:** SO THESE WERE SEVERAL DIFFERENT STRATEGIES THAT WE
8 PUT IN PLACE IN ORDER TO ADDRESS THE OVER 60 BACKLOG. ONE WAS
9 TO HIRE TEMPORARY WORKERS. SO THIS WAS WITHIN OUR EXISTING
10 BUDGET. AND THEY CAME IN, IIN ORDER TO HELP TO FOCUS OUR
11 EFFORTS TO REDUCING THE BACKLOG. THE SECOND HAS BEEN FOR SOME
12 TIME THE UTILIZATION OF OVERTIME. SO WE HAVE EXPERIENCED AN
13 INCREASE OF OUR OVERTIME IN ORDER TO ALSO ASSIST WITH
14 ADDRESSING THE BACKLOG.

15
16 **SUP. KNABE:** OKAY, THANK YOU.

17
18 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** MR. MAYOR WITH RESPECT TO YOUR QUESTION ON THE
19 TRIAL COURT, WHEN I MENTIONED THERE WAS FUNDS ADDED THOSE WERE
20 ADDED ON A MINISTERIAL BASIS RELATED TO PROGRAMS LIKE E-CAPS
21 OR RETIREMENT. IT WAS NOTHING DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE HAVE
22 ADDED TO ANY OTHER DEPARTMENT OR COMMISSION OR OFFICE IN THE
23 COUNTY.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ANY OTHER QUESTIONS ON THIS ITEM?

2 OKAY. GO ON TO NUMBER 5.

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** MOVE APPROVAL, PLEASE, FOR NUMBER 4?

5

6 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. MAYOR, IF I MAY?

7

8 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS?

9

10 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** SIR, LET ME COMMENT ON ITEM NUMBER 4 AND I
11 WOULD SIMPLY WISH TO ABSTAIN ON ITEM NUMBER 1 UNDER THIS ITEM
12 BEFORE IT IS TO BE ADOPTED.

13

14 **SUP KNABE:** WHICH ITEM IS THAT THAT YOU ABSTAIN?

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THE CONSOLIDATION PIECE WITH RESPECT TO
17 CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND SO FORTH.

18

19 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THAT'S UNDER NUMBER 7, I THINK. I'M
20 GOING TO OPPOSE THAT, TOO.

21

22 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** WHERE IS IT?

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? I THOUGHT YOU
25 WERE ON ITEM 4.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** BUT WE'RE TRYING TO GET A
3 CLARIFICATION WHAT NUMBER WE'RE ON.

4

5 **SUP. KNABE:** CONSUMER AFFAIRS ISSUE IS 7, I THINK.

6

7 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** NUMBER 4 IS THE BUDGET ITEM AND THE ACTION
8 REQUIRED. NUMBER 7 IS THE RECEIVE AND FILE REDUCIBLE TO A
9 REPORT, CORRECT?

10

11 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES.

12

13 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THEREFORE I WANT TO BE ON RECORD AS TO
14 WHAT THE ACTION ITEM IS. THAT WOULD BE NUMBER 4 AND NUMBER 1
15 UNDER THAT. AND I SIMPLY REGISTER ABSTENTION.

16

17 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT, I AGREE. THANK YOU FOR BRINGING
18 THAT UP. I WAS UNDER THE IMPRESSION IT WAS UNDER NUMBER 7,
19 WHICH WAS THE RECEIVE AND FILE. THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY OF
20 SAVING 350,000 DOLLARS. IT HELPS TO IMPROVE THE EFFICIENCY OF
21 A GREAT SERVICE, THE CONSUMER AFFAIRS HAVE DONE AN INCREDIBLE
22 BUT THIS CONSOLIDATION WILL ENHANCE, NOT DISTRACT, FROM THAT
23 EFFORT, ALONG WITH SUBSTANTIAL SAVINGS OF REVENUES WHEN WE ARE
24 ATTEMPTING TO CONSERVE AS MUCH OF OUR RESOURCES FOR VITAL
25 SERVICES. SO WE HAVE DIFFERENT VIEWS AS TO WHAT THOSE VITAL



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 SERVICES ARE, BUT THIS COULD BE A BENEFIT TO I KNOW PUBLIC
2 SAFETY IF WE HAD THIS CONSOLIDATION MOVE FORWARD. SO I WOULD
3 ALSO OPPOSE THE MOTION TO NOT MOVE FORWARD WITH THE
4 CONSOLIDATING OF THE CONSUMER AFFAIRS.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT ARE WE TALKING ABOUT? ARE WE TALKING
7 ABOUT ALL OF NUMBER NUMBER 4 OR JUST ONE PART OF NUMBER 4?

8

9 **MIKE ANTONOVIC, MAYOR:** NO, THE ONE SECTION.

10

11 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** IT'S ON PAGE 10. AND IT'S THE PORTION-

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** 10 OF THE AGENDA?

14

15 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** PAGE 10 OF THE BOARD LETTER.

16

17 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT'S A BIFURCATION OF THE
18 CONSOLIDATION ISSUE, JUST THAT ITEM.

19

20 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** AND IT SPECIFICALLY REFERS UNDER OTHER
21 MINISTERIAL CHANGES. AND IT TALKS ABOUT CONSUMER AFFAIRS. THAT
22 WOULD BE THE PORTION THAT THEY'RE ABSTAINING ON.

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WHAT NOW? PAGE 10?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** PAGE 10 OF THE 20TH MEMO TITLED "RECOMMENDED
2 ADJUSTMENTS TO THE 2011-2012 RECOMMENDED COUNTY BUDGET. PAGE
3 10 STATES-

4

5 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'M ON PAGE 10 AND I DON'T HAVE THAT.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** UNDER CONSUMER AFFAIRS, "THE STATE REFLECTS
8 THE REVERSAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
9 CONSOLIDATION WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY AND SENIOR
10 SERVICES AS PROPOSED IN THE RECOMMENDED BUDGET." ON AGENDA
11 ITEM 7.

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I GOT IT, THANK YOU.

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THERE'S ANOTHER ISSUE. THERE'S ANOTHER REPORT
16 ON THAT SUBJECT. I BELIEVE WHAT'S HAPPENING IS THAT
17 SUPERVISORS-

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO, I UNDERSTAND.

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THANK YOU, SO WITH THAT COULD WE GET APPROVAL?

22

23 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'LL MOVE APPROVAL.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.
2 SECOND BY SUPERVISOR KNABE WITH SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS
3 AND I OPPOSING THAT SECTION THAT WOULD ELIMINATE CONSOLIDATING
4 CONSUMER AFFAIRS. ABSTAINED OR OPPOSED? ABSTAINED AND I'LL
5 OPPOSE. SO ORDERED.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** CAN WE MOVE ON TO NUMBER 5, PLEASE?

8

9 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

10

11 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I HAVE A QUICK MOTION COAUTHORITY BY
12 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY THOMAS. I WON'T READ THE ENTIRE MOTION. I'LL
13 JUST READ THE RESOLVE. "WE THEREFORE MOVE THAT THE BOARD OF
14 SUPERVISORS DIRECT THE C.E.O TO IDENTIFY 200,000 DOLLARS FOR
15 THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL PLANNING FOR COMMISSION OF THE
16 GENERAL PLAN COMMUNITY PLANS AND OTHER IMPLEMENTATION
17 MECHANISMS AND REPORT BACK IN TIME FOR SUPPLEMENTAL CHANGES
18 FOR FISCAL YEAR 2011-12 BUDGET."

19

20 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.
21 SECONDED BY SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS. SUPERVISOR MARK
22 RIDLEY-THOMAS?

23

24 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. I SIMPLY WANT TO
25 SUGGEST THE FOLLOWING. THIS IS HELPFUL AS IT PERTAINS TO AN



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 EARLIER ITEM THAT WE WERE DISCUSSING AS TO THE EFFICIENCY AND
2 THE STREAMLINING OF SERVICES IN THE DEPARTMENT OF REGIONAL
3 PLANNING. YOU MAY RECALL THIS IS NOT EXACTLY A NEW ISSUE. THE
4 EARLIER ONE AS IT CONNECTS TO THIS ISSUE IN THE SENSE THAT THE
5 BOARD DID TAKE ACTION SOME MONTHS AGO IN ADJUSTING FEES FOR
6 THE EXPRESS PURPOSE OF TRYING TO GET THE DEPARTMENT THE
7 RESOURCES THAT IT NEEDS TO EXPEDITE THE PROCESSES FOR BUSINESS
8 STARTUPS AND C.U.P.S AND THE LIKE. AND SO I THINK IT'S REALLY
9 IMPORTANT THAT THE MOTION THAT SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY AND I
10 BRING FORWARD, IT DOES HELP US DO WHAT WE NEED TO DO IN TERMS
11 OF ZONING, PLANNING AND THE REST OF THE MATTERS THAT JUST
12 SIMPLY MAKE LIFE BETTER FOR THE RESPECTIVE CONSTITUENCIES
13 THROUGHOUT THE COUNTY. SO I'M DELIGHTED TO JOIN IN THIS EFFORT
14 AND ASK OTHERS TO DO THE SAME.

15
16 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** OKAY. ANY OTHER COMMENTS? ANY
17 OBJECTION?

18
19 **SUP. KNABE:** I THINK IT FALLS IN LINE WITH YOUR EARLIER ISSUE.

20
21 **SUP RIDLEY-THOMAS:** RIGHT.

22
23 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO ORDERED. ITEM NUMBER 6?
24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **CLERK SACHI HAMAI:** THAT ITEM IS BEING CONTINUED ONE WEEK TO
2 JUNE 28TH.

3

4 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED, WITHOUT
5 OBJECTION, TO CONTINUE TO THE 28TH. NUMBER 7? WE ALREADY DID.

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT'S A RECEIVE AND FILE, PLEASE.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'LL MOVE IT.

10

11 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY YAROSLAVSKY. SECOND WITHOUT
12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED TO RECEIVE AND FILE. NUMBER 8.

13

14 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** CONTINUED.

15

16 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY SUPERVISOR MARK RIDLEY-
17 THOMAS, SECOND WITHOUT OBJECTION TO CONTINUE TO AUGUST, SO
18 ORDERED. NUMBER 9?

19

20 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NUMBER 9.

21

22 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RECEIVE AND FILE?

23

24 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** YES IT IS.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RECIEVE AND FILE MOTION BY KNABE.

2 SECOND WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. NUMBER 10?

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NUMBER 10, PLEASE?

5

6 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY.

7 SECOND WITHOUT OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

8

9 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NUMBER 11?

10

11 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MOLINA. SECONDED WITHOUT

12 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

13

14 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NUMBER 12?

15

16 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY KNABE. SECONDED WITHOUT

17 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED.

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NUMBER 13 TO ADOPT THE BUDGET?

20

21 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MOTION BY MARK RIDLEY-THOMAS. SECONDED

22 WITHOUT OBJECTION SO ORDERED.

23

24 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** CAN I MAKE ONE FINAL COMMENT? BECAUSE I KNOW

25 THERE'S GOING TO BE CONCERN WITH THE COMMENTS I MADE RELATED



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 TO LA-RICS. THIS ISSUE IS JUST EVOLVING. IT WAS LITERALLY
2 PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE WHICH WE'RE WORKING WITH OUR STAFF IN
3 WASHINGTON, D.C. TO GET ADDITIONAL INFORMATION TO DEVELOP A
4 PROPOSAL THAT WILL SEND FORWARD TO YOUR BOARD IT'S SOMETHING
5 THAT I DIDN'T ANTICIPATE THAT QUESTION TODAY. AND SO WE ARE
6 WORKING ON SOMETHING RIGHT NOW TO SEND TO YOU TO EXPLAIN THE
7 BILL, THE RAMIFICATIONS AND WITH THAT THE OPPORTUNITIES
8 PRESENTED BY IT. SO I WASN'T ANTICIPATING THAT QUESTION,
9 OTHERWISE WE WOULD HAVE HAD A BETTER WRITTEN RESPONSE
10 DEVELOPED FOR YOU.

11

12 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** HOW DOES THAT IMPACT THE J.P.A.'S
13 EVALUATING THE PROPOSAL THAT WERE SUBMITTED TO THEM?

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IT COULD HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT. BECAUSE
16 THE CURRENT PROPOSAL THAT IS ON THE TABLE RIGHT NOW DOES NOT
17 INCLUDE ANY RELATIONSHIP TO THE COMMERCIAL CARRIER. I THINK
18 THAT I PERSONALLY FEEL, BUT THAT'S JUST MY OPINION, I'M ONLY
19 ONE MEMBER OF THE J.P.A, THAT THE OPPORTUNITY PRESENTED BY
20 THIS BILL THAT HASN'T BEEN APPROVED BY SENATE, THE ENTIRE
21 SENATE YET, BUT THE OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED IN THIS BILL IS TOO
22 GREAT TO PASS UP. AND SO WE'RE GOING TO WATCH IT CAREFULLY
23 OVER THE NEXT FEW WEEKS AND SEE.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHEN THE J.P.A. WAS ISSUED, DID IT
2 PRECLUDE COMMERCIAL PARTICIPATION?

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU MEAN WITH THE R.F.P.?

5

6 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YEAH, WHEN THE R.F.P.

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WHEN THE R.F.P. WAS ISSUED, IT DID NOT INCLUDE
9 COMMERCIAL PARTICIPATION. IT DIDN'T PRECLUDE IT, BUT DIDN'T IT
10 INCLUDE COMMERCAIL PARTICIPATION.

11

12 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT COULD HAVE. SO A COMMERCIAL VENDOR
13 COULD HAVE SUBMITTED AN R.F.P., BECAUSE HE WAS NOT OR SHE WAS
14 NOT PRECLUDED FROM DOING SO?

15

16 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THEY COULD HAVE BEEN PARTY TO IT. BUT WHAT
17 THIS BILL DOES, IT PROVIDES, FOR LACK OF A BETTER EXPRESSION,
18 IT PROVIDES A CARROT FOR COMMERCIAL CARRIER TO WORK WITH US.
19 ABSENT THE BILL, THERE IS NO INCENTIVE WHATSOEVER EITHER
20 FINANCIAL OR OPERATIONAL FOR THAT CARRIER TO WORK WITH US.

21

22 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO THERE HAS TO BE SOME TYPE OF TAX
23 SUBSIDY TO A COMMERCIAL VENDOR TO PARTICIPATE IN SUCH A
24 PROGRAM?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NOT NECESSARILY, SIR.

2

3 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SO WHAT WOULD MAKE IT ECONOMICALLY
4 FEASIBLE FOR A COMMERCIAL VENDOR TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS
5 PROGRAM WITHOUT THE LEGISLATION BEING PASSED?

6

7 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** BECAUSE IT DEALS WITH BROADBAND. BECAUSE IT
8 DEALS WITH SPECTRUM. IT POTENTIALLY COULD ENHANCE THEIR SYSTEM
9 CONSIDERABLY, WHICH WOULD, IN TURN, ENHANCE THE SERVICE THEY
10 PROVIDE TO THEIR CUSTOMERS.

11

12 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** THEY COULD HAVE TAKEN ADVANTAGE OF
13 THAT WHEN THE R.F.P. WAS ISSUED, COULD THEY NOT?

14

15 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO.

16

17 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** NO?

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** BECAUSE ACCESS TO THIS SPECTRUM, TO THE
20 BROADBAND WAS ONLY AVAILABLE THROUGH PASSING THIS LEGISLATION.
21 IT IS SOMETHING THAT JUST DEVELOPED.

22

23 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHAT PRECLUDES A LOSING VENDER FROM
24 INTRODUCING SPECIAL LEGISLATION THAT WOULD HAVE A BENEFIT FOR
25 THEM ECONOMICALLY?



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** DEPENDS HOW THAT IS STRUCTURED. IT WOULD BE
3 HIGHLY UNLIKELY FOR A VENDER TO BE SUCCESSFUL IN MOVING
4 FORWARD LEGISLATION OF THAT TYPE. THIS PARTICULAR PIECE OF
5 LEGISLATION WILL BE SUCCESSFUL BECAUSE IT IMPACTS
6 MUNICIPALITIES, LOCAL GOVERNMENTS THROUGHOUT THE UNITED
7 STATES. IT WAS PRESENTED BY SENATOR ROCKEFELLER.

8

9 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WHY DID IT JUST HAPPEN WHEN THE J.P.A.
10 WHAT THE R.F.P. RECOMMENDED FOR APPROVAL?

11

12 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HAD NO CONTROL OVER THAT. IT WAS A SENATE
13 BILL.

14

15 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** I KNOW IT'S A SENATE BILL, BUT IT'S
16 INTERESTING HOW IT ALL HAPPENED, ALL THE STARS ALL GOT IN
17 ALIGNMENT AT A PARTICULAR TIME.

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ABSOLUTELY. EVEN IF WE HAVE TO-

20

21 **MIKE AJUST** POPS UP WITH AN IDEA TWO WEEKS, THREE WEEKS BEFORE.

22

23 **>>C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** THAT IDEA WAS FLOATING.

24



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** YEAH, BUT THAT DOESN'T GET WRITTEN IN
2 THE LEGISLATION. THERE ARE A LOT OF FLOATERS OUT THERE.

3

4 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WHAT WE HEARD WAS THAT THE D-BLOCK WAS GOING
5 TO BE SOLD TO THE COMMERCIAL VENDORS. WHAT HAS CHANGED IS THE
6 FACT THAT MUNICIPALITIES WILL HAVE ACCESS. AND WITH THAT
7 CONTROL OF THIS ADDITIONAL SPECTRUM. THAT'S THE BIG CHANGE.

8

9 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MR. CHAIRMAN?

10

11 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** SUPERVISOR YAROSLAVSKY?

12

13 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YOU WANTED TO SAY SOMETHING?

14

15 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** CHIEF, DO YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING?

16

17 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NO, BILL, DID YOU WANT TO SAY SOMETHING?

18

19 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** NO.

20

21 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I WOULD JUST- I DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT
22 THIS BILL. FIRST I HEARD OF IT WAS TODAY. I KNOW THAT OUR
23 STAFFS WERE BRIEFED LAST WEEK ABOUT THE STATUS OF LA-RICS.
24 THIS DID NOT COME UP. THIS BILL WAS INTRODUCED ON MAY 9TH. THE
25 AMENDMENT WAS APPARENTLY PASSED, IF I'M READING THIS



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 CORRECTLY, I JUST GOT THIS FROM YOUR OFFICE, BILL, ON JUNE
2 8TH. THAT'S ALMOST TWO WEEKS AGO. I DON'T KNOW WHAT IT MEANS.
3 I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THIS IS A SERIOUS PIECE OF LEGISLATION,
4 WHETHER IT'S GOT ANY LEGS. PEOPLE INTRODUCE BILLS ALL THE
5 TIME. I WOULD NOT BE QUICK TO PULL THE PLUG ON AN EXISTING
6 R.F.P. I JUST THINK WE NEED TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THIS BILL AND
7 WHAT'S BEHIND IT. BEFORE ANYBODY MAKES ANY JUDGMENTS ABOUT IT
8 THE LA-RICS HAS BEEN, AS YOU KNOW BETTER THAN ANYBODY, HAS
9 BEEN A LONG TIME IN GETTING TO THIS POINT. WE'VE BEEN TALKING
10 ABOUT IT FOR YEARS. WHEN WAS THE R.F.P. ISSUED ON L.A. RICKS?
11 HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?

12

13 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** A COUPLE YEARS AGO, WASN'T IT? ABOUT
14 ONE YEAR?

15

16 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** YEAR AND A HALF, GIVE OR TAKE. SO TO BLOW IT
17 UP BECAUSE OF ONE BILL THAT IS HANGING OUT THERE, I MEAN THERE
18 COULD BE A BILL, A SESSION THAT THEY COULD DO THIS. THE OTHER
19 THING, MR. FUJIOKA, I WOULD TRY TO FIGURE OUT A WAY THAT IF
20 THIS BILL IS SERIOUS, AND, AGAIN, I HAVEN'T SEEN THE BILL.
21 ALLATIVE GOT IS A THREE-LINE SUMMARY OF WHAT HAS TRANSPIRED
22 ABOUT THE BILL IS TO SEE HOW BEFORE YOU BLOW UP, PULL THE PLUG
23 ON THE R.F.P. IS TO FIGURE OUT HOW YOU COULD MAKE THE R.F.P.
24 AND THE LEGISLATION IF IT WERE ENACTED, HOW YOU COULD FOLD IT
25 IN WITHOUT DUPING THE R.F.P. AND I'M SPEAKING TOTALLY OFF THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 TOP OF MY HEAD BECAUSE I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THIS BILL DOES AND
2 APPARENTLY NOBODY ELSE HAS A LOT OF INFORMATION ABOUT IT AS WE
3 SIT HERE TODAY. BUT I THINK THAT KIND OF INFORMATION NEEDS TO
4 BE ASCERTAINED SO THAT WE KNOW WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE, THE
5 RISK/REWARD RATIO. BECAUSE TO WAIT ANOTHER TWO YEARS OR
6 LONGER, TO START ALL OVER AGAIN TO GET THIS THING GOING, WHEN
7 WE'VE GOTTEN THIS FAR WITH A LOT OF HARD WORK, IT'S A
8 REMARKABLE THING THAT WE'VE GOTTEN TO THIS POINT. FRANKLY,
9 I'VE ALWAYS BEEN SKEPTICAL WHETHER THIS WOULD EVER GET OFF THE
10 GROUND IN MY LIFETIME. IT APPEARS THAT IT WILL GET OFF THE
11 GROUND, UNLESS SOMETHING HAPPENS TO DERAIL IT.

12

13 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE HEAR YOU LOUD AND CLEAR. NO DECISION'S BEEN
14 MADE. EXCUSE ME, SIR. NO DECISION HAS BEEN MADE RIGHT NOW TO
15 BLOW UP OR SUSPEND ANYTHING. THIS BILL IS NOT SPECIFICALLY
16 TIED TO THE LA-RICS EFFORT UNLESS WE DECIDE TO TIE IT. WE CAN
17 ALWAYS GO FORWARD ON THE CURRENT PROCESS, ON THE CURRENT PLAN.
18 IN TALKING TO- WE HAD A MEETING WITH OBAMA'S IT PERSON,
19 ANNANISE KAPUR WHO CAME OUT A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO WHO SPOKE TO
20 THIS BILL, WHO TALKED ABOUT THE POTENTIAL OF PARTNERING WITH
21 COMMERCIAL CARRIERS. THERE WAS A MEETING IN LAS ANGELES, I MET
22 HIM AT A LUNCH, BUT I DIDN'T ATTEND THE ACTUAL MEETING, WHERE
23 SOME OF THE COMMERCIAL CARRIERS WERE PRESENT. THE OBAMA
24 ADMINISTRATION FEELS THAT THE COMMERCIAL CARRIERS SHOULD BE
25 PLAYING AN ACTIVE ROLE IN HELPING US BUILD OUT OUR EMERGENCY



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 COMMUNICATION SYSTEM. THAT'S THE PRINCIPAL GOAL OF LA-RICS.
2 AND SO WE HAVEN'T MADE A DECISION. BUT IF IN FACT THIS BILL
3 GOES FORWARD AND ALLOWS US TO DEVELOP THAT PARTNERSHIP, THERE
4 COULD BE SIGNIFICANT COST SAVINGS. THAT WOULD BE THE ONLY
5 REASON WHY WE WOULD DO SO. IT WOULDN'T CHANGE WHAT WE'RE
6 TRYING TO ACHIEVE, OUR PRINCIPAL GOAL AND OBJECTIVE, AND THAT
7 IS TO HAVE AN INTEROPERABLE COMMUNICATION SYSTEM FOR ALL
8 PUBLIC SAFETY ENTITIES IN L.A. COUNTY. BUT THE FACT THAT IT
9 DID PASS OUT OF COMMITTEE WAS A MILESTONE, WAS A HUGE
10 ACHIEVEMENT. AND SO IT'S ONLY, I THINK, RESPONSIBLE ON OUR
11 PART TO CONTINUE TO TRACK IT IF IT PRESENTS THAT KIND OF
12 OPPORTUNITY.

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I DON'T THINK THE ISSUE IS WHETHER YOU
15 SHOULD CONTINUE TO TRACK IT. OBVIOUSLY YOU SHOULD CONTINUE TO
16 TRACK IT. THE QUESTION IS WHAT IS OUR RESPONSE TO IT? I
17 HONESTLY DON'T KNOW HOW ANY OF US SITTING AROUND THIS TABLE
18 TODAY CAN GIVE A DEFINITIVE- CAN POSTULATE A DEFINITIVE
19 STRATEGY AS TO HOW TO DO IT, SINCE NONE OF US SITTING HERE
20 ACTUALLY UNLESS, YOU'VE READ THE BILL, I DON'T KNOW ANYBODY
21 AROUND HERE WHO HAS READ THE BILL. AND WHAT THE POLITICS OF IT
22 ARE. AND WHAT THE- WHETHER THIS IS REAL. IT'S SIGNIFICANT IT
23 PASSED OUT OF A COMMITTEE. BUT I CAN SHOW YOU A LIST OF BILLS
24 THAT HAVE PASSED OUT OF COMMITTEE THAT HAVE GONE NO WHERE
25 COULD REACH FROM HERE TO THE MOON AND BACK. I DON'T UNDERSTAND



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THIS BUSINESS OF THE COMMERCIAL CARRIER. IS T-MOBILE PROPOSING
2 TO DO WHAT- ARE YOU SUGGESTING THAT T-MOBILE WOULD BE IN A
3 POSITION TO BID ON ACTUALLY PROVIDING THE ARCHITECTURE FROM A
4 TO Z ON AN LA-RICS TYPE OF SYSTEM? OR ARE WE TALKING THE
5 EXISTING BIDDERS WOULD BE PARTNERING TO TRY TO UTILIZE THE
6 INFRASTRUCTURE THAT THESE OTHER CARRIERS HAVE SO AS TO
7 MINIMIZE COSTS?

8

9 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** IT WOULD PROBABLY BE THE LATTER.

10

11 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** WELL IF IT'S THE LATTER-

12

13 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** MR. MAYOR, WHEN YOU GET A CHANCE?

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IF IT'S THE LATTER, THEN ONE OPTION IS
16 WHOEVER WINS THE BID COULD THEN BE DIRECTED TO, I WOULD
17 IMAGINE, WOULD BE INCENTIVIZED ON THEIR OWN TO NEGOTIATE WITH
18 CARRIERS IF THIS BILL SEES THE LIGHT OF DAY AND IT LIBERATES
19 THEM TO DO SO, TO TALK TO THEM AND PARTNER WITH THEM. BUT I AM
20 JUST VERY RETICENT TO SAY THAT ONE OPTION, GIVE CREDIBILITY TO
21 AN OPTION WHICH SAYS GO BACK TO SQUARE ONE. THAT MAY BE WHAT
22 WE END UP HAVING TO DO. BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT WE KNOW THAT
23 NOW.

24

25 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** WE DON'T.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

C.E.O. FUJIOKA: I WASN'T ASKING FOR US TO TAKE ANY ACTION TODAY. I WAS JUST MENTIONING A POSSIBILITY. THE ONE THING WE WANT TO DO, AND WE WILL BE SENDING YOU SOME INFORMATION ON THIS AS WE OBTAIN IT. THE ONE THING THAT I WANTED TO DO IS TO MENTION THAT THERE IS THIS POSSIBILITY OUT THERE. IF WE WERE TO GO FORWARD WITH IT, NOT ONLY AS A COUNTY BUT ALSO AS A J.P.A., WE WANT TO DO SO THAT WE AS A J.P.A. IN THE COUNTY WOULD MAXIMIZE THE BENEFIT THROUGH THIS BILL AND NOT A VENDER WHO IS INVOLVED WITH THIS PROCESS. BUT WE WILL BE PROVIDING MORE INFORMATION.

12

MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR: THE VENDOR IS BEHIND THE BILL. SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS?

15

SUP. YAROSLAVSKY: ONE LAST COMMENT BEFORE, 10 SECONDS. CAN YOU GET US, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE, ANALYSIS OF THIS BILL FROM WASHINGTON AND FROM YOUR OFFICE? >**C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** ABSOLUTELY.

19

SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS: SOONER THAN THAT IF POSSIBLE IF IN FACT, AND I TAKE YOU AT YOUR WORD, BILL, THAT IT PORTENDS GOOD NEWS, POTENTIALLY. BUT IT WOULD SEEM TO ME THAT EACH OF US SHOULD BENEFIT FROM, GIVEN THE GRAVITY OF THE ISSUE AT HAND, AND THE INVESTMENT THAT HAS BEEN MADE OVER THE LENGTH OF TIME THAT IT HAS BEEN MADE, EACH BOARD MEMBER OUGHT TO BENEFIT FROM A

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 BRIEFING ON THIS MATTER IN SHORT ORDER. AND THAT PERHAPS
2 SHOULD PRECEDE A FORMAL ANALYSIS. IT SEEMS TO ME THERE'S
3 SOMETHING AT STAKE HERE THAT ALL OF US OUGHT TO BE BROUGHT UP
4 TO SPEED ON AS QUICKLY AS HUMANLY POSSIBLE AND THAT WOULD BE
5 MY HOPE, MR. MAYOR. AND I DON'T KNOW WHY THAT COULDN'T HAPPEN.
6 FUJIOKA?

7

8 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** I UNDERSTAND. I AGREE.

9

10 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD, CHIEF?

11

12 **DARRYL OSBY:** YEAH, I MEAN, DARRYL OSBY, L.A. COUNTY FIRE.
13 HONORABLE ABOARD, MR. FUJIOKA PRETTY MUCH SAID IT ALL, BUT I
14 WANTED TO LET YOU KNOW FROM A PUBLIC SAFETY PERSPECTIVE, THIS
15 TOPIC HAS BEEN ONGOING FOR SEVERAL YEARS, PRIOR TO LA-RICS
16 CURRENTLY IN PUBLIC SAFETY WE UTILIZE U.H.F. AND V.H.F.
17 FREQUENCIES. AND BY JANUARY 2013 IN PUBLIC SAFETY, WE HAD TO
18 SWITCH TO NARROW BANDING, WHICH INITIALLY WASN'T ENOUGH
19 FREQUENCIES FOR THE PUBLIC SAFETY IN THE NATION. IN ADDITION
20 TO THAT, WE HAD A CONVERSATION TRYING TO DEVELOP A NATIONAL
21 PLATFORM FOR PUBLIC SAFETY. AND THIS BANDWIDTH WAS AVAILABLE.
22 SO THE J.P.A. WAS AWARE OF IT. IT WAS KNOWN IN THE BID
23 PROCESS. THE BANDWIDTH THAT WE ARE PURSUING, AND IT'S STILL IN
24 DISCUSSION RIGHT NOW. I JUST HAD A MEETING LAST THURSDAY ON
25 THE LEGISLATION. IT'S VERY PRELIMINARY RIGHT NOW AS FAR AS THE



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 UTILIZATION OF SEEKING FUNDS FOR THE BANDWIDTH. THE 20
2 MEGAHERTZ IS FROM THE CONGRESS THAT WAS GOING TO BE DEDICATED
3 TO PUBLIC SAFETY. INITIALLY WAS GOING TO BE DEDICATED FOR
4 PRIVATE USE. BUT THEN IT WAS REDEDICATED TO PUBLIC SAFETY. THE
5 FACT BEING KNOWN IS THAT THE TECHNOLOGY IS NOT QUITE HERE YET
6 FOR IT TO COMPLETELY BE BUILT OUT. THE BANDWIDTH IS FOR NEXT
7 GENERATION TECHNOLOGY, WHICH IS PRIMARILY CELL TECHNOLOGY. SO
8 IF MR. FUJIOKA INDICATED IF IT'S CELL TECHNOLOGY AND PUBLIC
9 SAFETY DOESN'T UTILIZE ALL THE BANDWIDTH WITHIN THE 20 THAT
10 WE'RE GIVEN, THEN THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT PUBLIC SAFETY
11 CAN UTILIZE PART OF THAT BANDWIDTH TO PARTNER WITH PRIVATE
12 INDUSTRY TO HELP AUGMENT THE COST OF THE J.P.A. AND LA-RICS
13 BUT IT WASN'T INITIATED BY A VENDOR.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** DO YOU SEE ANY REASON WHY WE'D HAVE TO
16 SCUTTLE THE EXISTING R.F.P.?

17

18 **DARRYL OSBY:** NO.

19

20 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** IF THIS BILL PASSED?

21

22 **DARRYL OSBY:** NONE. RIGHT NOW, THE CURRENT TREND, THE FACT THAT
23 THIS BANDWIDTH WAS GIVEN TO PUBLIC SAFETY, GIVES US AN
24 OPPORTUNITY TO HELP AUGMENT OR OFFSET SOME OF THE COSTS OF LA-
25 RICS.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** SO WHOEVER WINS THE R.F.P., BIDDER A OR B,
3 WOULD BE ABLE TO FOLD IN THEIR BID TO THIS NEW DEVELOPMENT IF
4 THE NEW DEVELOPMENT COMES TO PASS?

5

6 **DARRYL OSBY:** POTENTIALLY IF APPROVED.

7

8 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I WANT YOU TO FINISH ANSWERING.

9

10 **SPEAKER:** POTENTIALLY IF APPROVED, THE FACT IS WE'RE PURSUING
11 THE UTILIZATION OF SYNCING. IF WE CAN UT UTILIZE SOME OF THAT
12 BANDWIDTH TO GAIN FINANCIAL REVENUE. HASN'T BEEN APPROVED YET.
13 THE FACT OF THE MATTER IS THAT BANDWIDTH IS FOR PUBLIC SAFETY.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** MY POINT IS THAT NEITHER ONE OF THE TWO
16 BIDDERS IN THE LA-RICS COMPETITION NOW- LET ME PUT IT THIS
17 WAY: EITHER ONE OF THE BIDDERS COULD MODIFY THEIR PROPOSAL TO
18 REFLECT A NEW REALITY IF IT WOULDN'T GIVE ANYBODY AN ADVANTAGE
19 ONE OVER ANOTHER, IS THAT RIGHT?

20

21 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE'RE LOOKING AT THAT ISSUE WITH COUNTY
22 COUNSEL RIGHT NOW. WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO IS TO GO FORWARD ON
23 THE COURSE YOU'RE LAYING OUT AND NOT MODIFY IT. BUT RIGHT NOW,
24 BECAUSE OF THE SENSITIVITY OF THIS PARTICULAR PROCESS, WE'RE
25 WORKING WITH COUNTY COUNSEL. AND I THINK WE'RE EVEN GOING TO



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 ENLIST THE HELP OF SOME OUTSIDE COUNSEL TO MAKE SURE WHATEVER
2 WE DO IS BULLETPROOF.

3

4 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THE TWO SEEMS TO ME THAT REGARDLESS OF WHICH
5 BIDDER WINS, THIS IS A CONSTANT. IF THIS BILL PASSES AND IT
6 HAS WHATEVER IMPACT IT HAS, IT AFFECTS EACH OF THEM IN THE
7 SAME WAY. IT GIVES EACH OF THEM THE SAME OPPORTUNITY. I JUST
8 WOULD REALLY, I THINK IT'S IN OUR BEST INTEREST TO AVOID
9 STARTING FROM GOING BACK TO SQUARE ONE ON THIS.

10

11 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** WHY DO YOU KEEP SAYING THAT? I DON'T KNOW
12 THAT THEY'RE SUPPOSED TO DO THAT. DO YOU SENSE THAT?

13

14 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THE REASONS I INDICATED.

15

16 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THEY DON'T MAKE SENSE TO ME BECAUSE I
17 HEAVEN'T HEARD ANYONE SAYING LET'S START OVER; DID I MISS IT?

18

19 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'LL SEND YOU THE COPY OF THE TRANSCRIPT
20 FROM THE MEETING.

21

22 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** LET'S GET IT ON THE RECORD, MR. MAYOR?

23

24 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** NOBODY SAID WE'RE GOING TO DO IT BUT IT WAS
25 AN OPTION THAT WAS CLEARLY ARTICULATED AT THE BEGINNING OF



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 THIS CONVERSATION IN RESPONSE TO SUPERVISOR ANTONOVICH'S
2 QUESTIONS. I'M JUST TRYING TO --

3

4 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** I'M CLARIFYING, NOT DIFFERING.

5

6 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I'M TRYING TO FERRET THIS OUT SO THAT NOBODY
7 LEAVES HERE UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT TO GO IN ONE DIRECTION
8 OR THE OTHER IS THE WAY TO GO. I JUST THINK IT IS IN OUR
9 INTEREST, SINCE IT'S TAKEN US THIS LONG TO GET THIS FAR, TO
10 AVOID ANOTHER TWO-YEAR DELAY. THAT'S JUST PLAIN AND SIMPLE.
11 THE CHIEF IS NODDING IN AGREEMENT. I THINK WE WOULD ALL AGREE
12 TO THAT, IF THAT'S POSSIBLE. IT'S NOT. BUT I THINK THAT SHOULD
13 BE OUR OBJECTIVE.

14

15 **SUP. KNABE:** BUT THE ISSUE HERE GOES BACK TO THE CHIEF'S
16 COMMENT IN REGARDS TO THIS SPECIFIC ISSUE. THIS IS WAY BEFORE
17 LA-RICS. THIS GOES BACK TO MY EARLY DAYS ON HOMELAND SECURITY
18 COMMISSION AND THE NIMS, THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE MANAGEMENT
19 STRATEGY PROGRAM ON THAT COMMON WAVELENGTH GOING FROM THE
20 COMMERCIAL PIECE TO THE PUBLIC SAFETY PIECE. AND THAT'S HOW
21 THE WHOLE PROCESS DEVELOPED. AND THERE SHOULD BE WHOEVER THE
22 VICTOR IS, AND LA-RICS SHOULD BE ABLE TO BENEFIT FROM THIS.
23 THIS IS A PIECE OUTSIDE ANY BID PROCESS. THIS IS A PIECE OF
24 NATIONAL SECURITY. AND I THINK THAT'S THE THING TO REMEMBER.

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** THAT WAS MY ONLY POINT.

2

3 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** IN THAT REGARD, MR. MAYOR, IT SEEMS TO ME
4 THAT THE COLLECTIVE WISDOM IS THAT WE STAY THE COURSE.

5

6 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT.

7

8 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** AND THERE IS NO SENSE.

9

10 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** ISN'T THAT WHAT I JUST SAID?

11

12 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** NO, IT ISN'T WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. YOU KEEP
13 SAYING DON'T SHIFT. AS IF THERE'S AN ATTEMPT TO DO SO.

14

15 **SUP. YAROSLAVSKY:** I SAID "DON'T NOT STAY THE COURSE."

16

17 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** I'M TRYING TO STATE IT MORE AFFIRMATIVELY,
18 MORE POSITIVELY, THAT THE BOARD IS UNDER THE IMPRESSION THAT
19 WE'RE GOING FORWARD.

20

21 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** RIGHT. WE ARE GOING FORWARD. THAT'S
22 CLEAR. I AGREE WITH YOU. WE'RE GOING FORWARD.

23

24 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** BEFORE YOU FINISH, MR. MAYOR?

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** WE HAVE TO RECONSIDER ITEM 13 FOR FOR
2 AUDITOR-CONTROLLER PRESENTATION, SO MOVED BY SECOND BY
3 SUPERVISOR RIDLEY-THOMAS TO RECONSIDER 13. WITHOUT OBJECTION,
4 SO ORDERED.

5

6 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** THANK YOU, MR. MAYOR. ON THE EARLIER
7 POINT, I STILL WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT EACH BOARD MEMBER GETS
8 THE BRIEFING ON THE-

9

10 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** ABSOLUTELY. WE NEED A WRITTEN REPORT
11 AS TO THAT LEGISLATION AND A STATUS WHEN THE DECISION IS BEING
12 MADE BY THE J.P.A. BUT THE BOARD HAS TO BE INVOLVED IN THAT
13 DECISION.

14

15 **SUP. RIDLEY-THOMAS:** YEAH.

16

17 **C.E.O. FUJIOKA:** WE'VE HEARD THAT LOUD AND CLEAR, SIR.

18

19 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** MISS WATANABE?

20

21 **WENDY WATANABE:** GOOD MORNING MAYOR ANTONOVICH AND SUPERVISORS.
22 WENDY WATANABE, AUDITOR-CONTROLLER. WE HAVE ALL THE
23 INFORMATION NEEDED TO PREPARE THE FINAL BUDGET RESOLUTION.
24 ACCORDINGLY, IF YOUR BOARD DESIRES, YOU CAN NOW ADOPT THE
25 FINAL BUDGET RESOLUTION, WHICH IS ITEM NUMBER 13.



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1

2 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** IT HAS BEEN BLESSED BY THE POPE.

3 [LAUGHTER.] OKAY. MOTION BY SUPERVISOR KNABE. SECONDED WITHOUT
4 OBJECTION, SO ORDERED. ALL THAT FOR THAT. [LAUGHTER.] I WAS
5 EXPECTING SOMETHING REALLY-

6

7 **SUP. KNABE:** WE WENT THROUGH A VERY BRIEF PROCESS TODAY BUT I
8 THINK IT REALLY POINTS OUT THE PRECARIOUS POSITION THAT WE'RE
9 IN. YOU KNOW, WE DID OUR JOB. WE PLAN FOR THE FUTURE. THERE'S
10 SO MUCH OUTSIDE OF OUR CONTROL. IT COULD HAVE A VERY NEGATIVE
11 IMPACT ON OUR BUDGET. AND WHILE WE MOVE FORWARD TODAY WITH
12 WHAT WE HAVE, WHAT WE KNOW WE HAVE AT LEAST TO THIS POINT, WE
13 CAN'T DO MUCH MORE THAN THAT UNTIL THERE'S SOME RESOLUTION TO
14 THE STATE BUDGET AND THE ISSUES ON THE FEDERAL PLATE, AS WELL,
15 TOO, FROM THE WAIVER. SO WHILE THE GOOD NEWS IS THAT IT DIDN'T
16 DRAG OUT FOR DAYS AND HOURS, THE BAD NEWS IS THERE'S STILL
17 SOME THINGS OUT THERE ON THE HORIZON THAT'S GOING TO
18 DRAMATICALLY IMPACT HOW WE LOOK AT THIS IN THE FALL.

19

20 **MIKE ANTONOVICH, MAYOR:** AND THEN TODAY'S SPECIAL MEETING FOR
21 THE 2011-2012 BUDGET DELIBERATIONS ARE GOING TO BE ADJOURNED.
22 THE NEXT REGULAR MEETING IS GOING TO BE ON TUESDAY, JUNE 21ST,
23 9:30 A.M., HALL OF ADMINISTRATION.

24

25



**The Meeting Transcript of
The Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors**

1 I, JENNIFER A. HINES, Certified Shorthand Reporter Number
2 6029/RPR/CRR qualified in and for the State of California, do
3 hereby certify:

4 That the transcripts of proceedings recorded by the Los
5 Angeles County Board of Supervisors June 20, 2011,
6 were thereafter transcribed into typewriting under my
7 direction and supervision;

8 That the transcript of recorded proceedings as archived
9 in the office of the reporter and which have been provided to
10 the Los Angeles County Board of Supervisors as certified by
11 me.

12 I further certify that I am neither counsel for, nor
13 related to any party to the said action; nor
14 in anywise interested in the outcome thereof.

15 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this
16 27th day of June 2011, for the County records to be used only
17 for authentication purposes of duly certified transcripts
18 as on file of the office of the reporter.

19

20 JENNIFER A. HINES

21 CSR No. 6029/RPR/CRR

22